

The Solicitors Journal.

LONDON, APRIL 18, 1885.

CURRENT TOPICS.

AT THE PRESENT TIME the amount received at the Royal Courts of Justice for judicature and bankruptcy fees, and for fees in respect of patents and trade-marks, exceeds £1,000 a day.

No APPOINTMENT had been made, up to the time of our going to press, to the vacant registrarship of the Supreme Court; but, of course, it is anticipated that MR. CHARLES BEAL, the senior clerk to the registrars, will be appointed to the vacant post.

THE LIST OF APPEALS for the Easter Sittings contains 155 final, and 6 interlocutory, appeals from the Chancery Division; 16 from the County Palatine of Lancaster; 101 final, and 26 interlocutory, appeals from the Queen's Bench Division; 9 from the Probate, Divorce, and Admiralty Division; and 7 bankruptcy appeals; being a total of 320 appeals, as compared with 358 at the beginning of the last sittings, and 383 at Easter, 1884.

THE CAUSE LIST for the Queen's Bench Division has 78 causes in the New Trial paper; 8 in the Special paper; 26 opposed motions; 93 causes in the Crown paper; and three in the Revenue paper. There are also 491 actions to be tried with juries, and 531 without juries, and there are 23 bankruptcy cases. These make a total of 1,253 cases in the Queen's Bench Division list, as compared with 1,163 at the last sittings, and 1,061 a year ago.

THE LIST of causes of the Probate, Divorce, and Admiralty Division for the Easter Sittings contains 36 probate cases, 12 of which are to be tried with juries and 24 without; 122 matrimonial cases, 66 of which are undefended, 41 defended, and 15 are to be tried with juries. There are also 55 cases standing over and 44 admiralty actions, making, in this division, a total of 257 cases, as compared with 383 last sittings, and 395 a year ago.

THE CHANCERY cause lists contain 202 cases before Vice-Chancellor BACON, consisting of 135 actions, with and without witnesses, 59 adjourned summonses, and 8 further considerations. There are 130 cases before Mr. Justice KAY, consisting of 74 witness actions, 18 without witnesses, 26 adjourned summonses, and 12 further considerations. The cases before Mr. Justice CHITTY number 150, comprising 63 witness actions, 74 non-witness actions and adjourned summonses, and 13 further considerations and procedure summonses; and there is one action still before Mr. Justice FIELD. There are 70 actions before Mr. Justice NORTH; and before Mr. Justice PEARSON there are 180 cases, consisting of 64 witness actions, 101 non-witness actions and adjourned summonses, and 15 further considerations. The total of all these cases is 733. At the beginning of the last sittings there were 779 cases, and a year ago, 867.

AMONG THE MINOR "improvements" which are being effected in the courts from time to time we observe that Vice-Chancellor BACON's court has been altered, during the recent Vacation, in a manner similar to the alterations recently effected in the court of Mr. Justice Pearson. The bench has been lowered, so as to place the judge more nearly on a level with the bar. This

change was no doubt intended, not only to bring the learned judge nearer to the registrar, but also to render his utterances more audible to counsel. We regret to say that we cannot report any great improvement in this latter respect.

THE BILL "to amend the laws for the regulation of the profession of solicitors in Ireland," which has just been introduced in the House of Lords by Lord FITZGERALD, is in the main a re-enactment, under a different arrangement, of the Attorneys and Solicitors (Ireland) Act of 1866, and an incorporation with it of many of the provisions of the English Solicitors Acts, 1874 and 1877. We observe that it is proposed, by clause 7, to provide that " save as herein after by this Act provided, no person shall be capable of being bound by indentures of apprenticeship to serve as an apprentice to a solicitor unless he shall have obtained from the Incorporated Law Society a certificate that he has passed a preliminary examination and has complied with such regulations as the society under the provisions of this Act may have prescribed with respect to the admission to apprenticeship."

THE PERENNIAL correspondence on land transfer which has occupied a good deal of space in the *Times* of late, and has largely taken the form of an attack on solicitors' conveyancing remuneration, has served a useful purpose in eliciting a letter from a correspondent who sets out in parallel columns the amounts allowed to solicitors, and those charged by (1) surveyors for valuing under "Ryde's Scale"; (2) by architects for plans and superintending buildings; and (3) by auctioneers for negotiating sales by private contract. The table is as follows:—

Purchase Money or Valuation, &c.	Solicitors.	Ryde's Scale	Architects.	Auctioneers.
£	£ s.	£ s.	£	£ s.
500	7 10	13 13	25	15 0
1,000	15 0	18 18	50	27 10
2,000	30 0	24 0	100	50 10
	30 0	24 0	100	104 10

We have often wished that some one would take the trouble to prepare a paper stating in more detail the proportions of the cost attending the sale of land in this country attributable to the charges of the different professional and business persons whose intervention is required ; and also setting out in detail the labour and responsibility incurred by each class, and adding, of course, a statement of the stamp duties payable. It has hitherto been assumed that the costs attending the selling of land are due to the intricacy of title ; perhaps such a paper as we suggest might show that this assumption is, to a large extent, incorrect.

A DICTUM thrown out by the Court of Appeal in *Ellis v. Rogers* (*ante*, p. 371) deserves notice. The court are stated to have intimated an opinion (though they did not decide the point) that the fact that the vendor of a leasehold interest, under a lease containing a covenant prohibiting assignment without the consent of the landlord, but providing that such consent was not to be unreasonably withheld, had not obtained the landlord's licence to assign the lease at the time when the purchaser repudiated the contract of purchase, would not have been a defence to the vendor's action for specific performance. So far, there seems to be nothing very startling in the view of the court. If the vendor had pleaded and proved in the action that, although he had not, at the time of the purchaser's repudiation of the contract, obtained the landlord's licence to assign, yet, that he had subsequently obtained it, he ought to succeed in his action. But it appears from the report that the plaintiff had neither pleaded nor proved this, and that the Court of Appeal "were disposed to think that it would have been sufficient if the licence to assign had been obtained *before the execution of the*

conveyance to the purchaser." We find it somewhat difficult to reconcile this opinion with the general principle that, when a vendor seeks to enforce the purchase contract, it is incumbent on him to show that he is able to perform his part of it. It is, of course, clearly settled that it is the duty of the vendor to obtain the licence to assign, and, in *Mason v. Corder* (7 Taunt. 9), it was held that the vendor of a leasehold interest who brought an action for damages for non-performance of an agreement for its purchase was bound "to show that he had done all that was requisite on his part—namely, that he had obtained the lessor's consent in writing to the assignment." "This action," said the court, "cannot be maintained unless the plaintiff did offer, and was able, and showed that he was able, to do all that for which he had agreed." Possibly the observations of the court in the recent case may have had reference to the provision in the covenant that the landlord's consent should not be unreasonably withheld.

A DIFFICULT QUESTION of law affecting the privilege of witnesses was raised before Mr. Justice LOPEZ on the first day of the present sittings, but was left undecided. A defendant being sued under the Metropolis Local Management Act, 1855 (18 & 19 Vict. c. 120), s. 54, for penalties incurred by him for having acted as a vestryman of a metropolitan parish without being properly qualified under the Act, was subpoenaed and examined by the plaintiff's counsel on the subject of his rating qualification, but declined to answer the questions, on the ground that by so doing he might establish his liability to the penalties claimed by the plaintiff. After some discussion Mr. Justice LOPEZ stated, on rising for the midday adjournment, that he would decide the question after consulting with some of his judicial brethren; but on his resuming his seat in court the plaintiff's counsel stated that they could prove their case by means of another witness, who had only just arrived, and thereupon the defendant withdrew from the witness-box, and the learned judge pronounced no ruling. The difficulty of the question which was raised is, apparently, not cleared up in any of the existing treatises on the law of evidence. The 46 Geo. 3, c. 37, enacts that "a witness cannot, by law, refuse to answer a question, the answer to which has no tendency to accuse himself or to expose him to a penalty or forfeiture of any nature, by reason only, or on the sole ground that the answering of such question may establish, or tend to establish, that he owes a debt, or is otherwise subject to a civil suit, either at the instance of his Majesty, or of any other person or persons." In Roscoe on Evidence (15th ed.), p. 157, it is said, "It will be seen that this statute recognizes the privilege, when the witness is exposed to a penalty or forfeiture"; but a few lines further on the author observes that "a doubt might exist whether this exception extends to penalties to be recovered by a common informer or otherwise in a civil action." It is strange that the question should never have been judicially settled during the long time which has elapsed since the passing of the Act. The two portions of the enactment appear to contradict one another; but the words in the exception, "a penalty or forfeiture of any nature," certainly appear wide enough to include the liability to penalties which, as in cases within the 18 & 19 Vict. c. 120, s. 54, "may be recovered by any person who may sue for the same in any of the superior courts of law, with full costs of suit."

THE BLOCK in the Chancery Division cannot be said to be due to any want of industry on the part of the judges of the present day. Looking at the judicial statistics of twenty years ago, we find that in 1864 the four chancery judges sat in court on 656 days and pronounced 5,752 decrees and orders, and that in 1883, the last year for which there are any returns, the five judges of the Chancery Division sat on 926 days and pronounced 7,908 decrees. It thus appears that five judges to-day do nearly half as much work again as four judges did twenty years ago; and this, in spite of the fact that since the taking of oral testimony in court, the general run of chancery causes is heavier now than it used to be under the affidavit system, and that, taking one with another, these causes consume a longer time in trial. The block in the Chancery Chambers is probably accounted for by the fact that, while in 1864 the judges, by their chief clerks in chambers, made 12,942 orders, in 1883 they made 21,662 orders.

"OFFICER OF THE COURT."

SOLICITORS are officers of the court. They share this distinction with a host of other functionaries encircling the judicial throne, and embracing such opposite extremes as master and tipstaff, registrar and usher. In common with other officers of the court, but in a different degree and shape, they are amenable to a species of paternal control on the part of the judges wholly disconnected with the obligation of the ordinary free-born Briton to respect the laws of his country, and to reverence (in court, at all events) those by whom, for the time being, they are being administered. The exact metes and bounds of this control over the solicitor have never been very clearly defined, and it is certain—it never has been more certain than at the present time—that different judges take different views of the matter. In so far as the subject admits of statement, it may be said that the solicitor is amenable to judicial control in the matter of his professional emoluments, indirectly in the sense that the rules which tell him what he may and may not charge for his time and trouble are practically the bantlings of the judges, and directly, in the sense that, in the application of these rules to his particular case, he must, on all occasions smother his own opinions and be guided solely by the words of wisdom which proceed from the bench. Furthermore, as to his professional conduct, he is liable, on all occasions, to have his acts and omissions in the conduct of litigation, and of every other form of transaction which ever comes into court, criticized and condemned, apart altogether from the merits of the case and the parties, and to be subjected to pains and penalties in the shape of personal payment of costs, deprivation of costs, and so forth. And, finally, where he has gravely misconducted himself, his very professional existence is at the mercy of the judges.

It might be supposed that, in the exercise of this very large measure of jurisdiction over a body of educated gentlemen belonging to a learned profession, the judges would, on all occasions, display a sensitive regard for the solicitor's just interests and personal feelings, would abstain from drawing hasty inferences, from condemning unheard or on insufficient materials, from inferring the existence of ignorance or evil in a profession of some fifteen thousand odd members on no better foundation than the fact that here and there an ignoramus or a dishonest scoundrel is unearthed. It might be supposed that the judges who sit in conclave to frame a rule prescribing what the solicitor is to do, or to charge, in connection with some proceeding in the courts, would not deal with him in spirit as if he were an individual whose sole professional avocation is to fetch and carry between the client, counsel, and court, in a few miserable actions at law, who is not entitled to a potential voice in matters however directly affecting his interests, and however clearly within the confines of his own practical knowledge and experience, but must, on all occasions, kiss the rod and accept submissively the crumbs that fall to him. It might be supposed that the judges, in handling the interests, the reputation, the good name of a solicitor, would call to mind what his position in society really is; that the discharge of his functions in litigation do not, as a rule, constitute more than the outside fringe of his work as a whole; that he is the man of business to whom the client applies, in almost every emergency of life, for help and guidance. Whether it be that the latter wishes to make or alter a testamentary disposition, to arrange the terms of a daughter's marriage settlement, to adjust a partnership contract, to separate or be divorced from husband or wife, to sue a debtor or make him a bankrupt, to be made a bankrupt or to carry out a private arrangement with creditors, to get up a company, to mortgage property, to raise money on mortgage, to vindicate himself from libel or slander, to re-arrange the entail of landed property, to obtain a private Act of Parliament, to contest a Bill in Parliament, to make an attorney for an act of folly or crime—in short, whenever person or property are affected in any of the thousand and one ways common to the children of men, the solicitor is called in, and skilled advice and unsparing zeal, knowledge, and discretion are expected, as a matter of course, at his hands. A mass of business—much of it delicate and difficult in character—is skilfully and honourably transacted daily in the offices of solicitors throughout the Kingdom, compared to which the sum total of a day's proceedings in the Royal Courts of Justice would present a most insignificant appearance.

It might, as we have said, be supposed that all this would be

remembered by the judges. Is it so in fact? Let us see what the indications are by which alone the question can practically be answered.

The present time is essentially one of upheaval in legal procedure. It goes without saying that no change can take place which does not concern the solicitor, whether as playing a part in the ever-revolving machinery of litigation, or in the more selfish aspect of personal remuneration. But from this circumstance the deduction is, in the present day, apt to be illogically and unjustly drawn by the presiding authorities that to attack the solicitor's existing rights, to reduce his remuneration directly and indirectly, to disturb his relations with his client, to put him more and more into the position of being required to justify his every act, great and small—that this is the true keynote of law reform. Has the result so far justified this view of the situation? Take as a prominent illustration the recent change in the law of bankruptcy. Under the new Act and Rules the solicitor was reduced almost to vanishing point in the matter of his remuneration for undertaking bankruptcy business. What has been the consequence? The authorities have been virtually forced to admit that they made a stupendous mistake, and are now engaged in framing a scale of costs avowedly intended to give the solicitor fair remuneration for his work! Let it once be established that the public will benefit by an alteration in legal procedure, and no solicitor in his senses will dare to contend that the alteration ought not to be made because, and only because, his own pocket will suffer from it. That is one thing; but it is another and totally different thing to approach the whole subject of law reform as if the solicitor and his works were the head and front of all the ills which attend litigation. A layman has such excuse as ignorance may supply when he visits, in thought and speech, on his solicitor's devoted head the responsibility for all the written and unwritten law of England; but the judges and other presiding authorities who are, and have for some time past been, so actively engaged in amending and re-amending legal procedure can hardly suppose seriously that the suitor's millennium can be arrived at by means of the solicitor's degradation. Nevertheless, we are constrained to say that there is much in recent legal history which points to the apparent existence of some such impression.

So much as to the solicitor's position in matters affecting legal procedure. But his reasonable causes for complaint do not stop here. The paternal "officer of the court" doctrine, in its application to the solicitor's conduct in his business, has shown of late alarming symptoms of growth, and although this subject is a delicate and difficult one to refer to, we cannot and will not pass it over in silence. The spectacle of judicial "solicitor-baiting" has of late become more and more common in the courts. Mr. Justice Kay in particular has established a reputation quite unique for this modern pastime. There seems, just now, to be an impression in the judicial mind that solicitors as a class are incapable of conducting their business with intelligence, or even with common honesty, unless they are constantly lectured from the bench as to their duty towards man and the lamentable extent to which they fall short in performing it. The rule applicable even to malefactors, that a man is deemed innocent until he is found guilty, is constantly violated by some at least of the judges when a solicitor is the subject of incidental observation in the course of the hearing of a case. Everything that he does or does not do is regarded from the most unfavourable point of view; hasty assumptions are made; particular instances of dereliction of duty furnish the text of solemn warnings and denunciations to the body at large. The fact that one solicitor makes a mistake in conducting a suit seems to be considered a sufficient warrant for the observation that solicitors do not know how to conduct suits. Because one solicitor speculates with his client's money, all solicitors are told that they ought not to speculate with their clients' money. It would be just as pertinent and graceful to declare from the bench, when one solicitor assaults his mother with a poker, that it is the duty of a solicitor to refrain from assaulting his mother with a poker. The solicitor is informed what he may do and what he may not do, how his time should be occupied and how it should not be occupied, what acts are proper and what acts are the reverse, what is within and what is beyond the limits of truth, propriety, prudence, honour, integrity, much as if he were a little boy taken out of the gutter and the judge the presiding dignitary of a ragged school. Judges might occasionally remember with advantage that they are not

the sole repositories of all the virtues, and that a solicitor may, with some reason, consider himself competent, without assistance from a bench composed of fallible beings like unto himself, to bear in mind the distinctions between right and wrong which may be gathered from the Ten Commandments, the Catechism, and other elementary sources. He may also, in a free country, aspire to a certain measure of personal liberty as to his acts and deeds without justly coming under an imputation of want of reverence for constituted authorities.

THE ORGANIZATION OF A SOLICITOR'S OFFICE.

II.—ORGANIZATION WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO CONTENTIOUS BUSINESS.

GETTING UP EVIDENCE.

Of the multifarious duties which fall to the solicitor's lot there are few, perhaps, more arduous and harassing, or which call for the exercise of greater skill, patience, and forethought, than that of getting up evidence.

In the first place, it is necessary for the efficient discharge of this duty that the solicitor should possess and bring to bear upon his handiwork, at least a good general knowledge of the law of evidence. He may be guided up to a certain point by advice of counsel; but when he plunges into the actual preparation of evidence in detail, this advice alone can never avail to keep him straight. Whether his ignorance takes in a particular case the form of adopting secondary evidence of a fact where the best evidence is at his command, or of filling the pages of his brief with hearsay statements, or whatever other shape it may assume, it is certain that he will fail of his duty if he has not mastered general principles. It may be said that any shortcomings in this matter which are attributable only to ignorance of law do not always or often work much mischief; that the mistake which requires correction is generally pointed out in time to set it right; that the hearsay statements are quietly detected and put aside by the counsel employed to conduct the case. Our answer is, that while the consequences of ignorance may be comparatively harmless in some cases, they certainly are not so in all, and that, apart from this, the solicitor's face should be set in the direction of doing his work in the right way, and he should not complacently suffer to go forth from his office a brief which might appropriately have the word "ignoramus" indorsed on it after his name and address.

But we freely admit that knowledge of the law of evidence is only a *datum* point from which to start, and that many other qualities, in the development and exercise of which law as a science has no immediate share, are called for. There is, for instance, in very many cases scope for almost inexhaustible ingenuity. Let us assume in illustration of this observation that an opinion of counsel has been taken preparatory to getting up evidence in an action—an opinion of the class which we described last week as hypothetical. The mode in which two solicitors would proceed to work out this opinion would show exactly to any competent observer the extent to which fertility of resource has been imported into its interpretation. Evidence of a certain class is recommended, perhaps, in the opinion as desirable; but the particular evidence is found not to be procurable. One solicitor will accept that result as final. Another will at once turn over in his mind the question whether the desired result can be arrived at in some other way, and will exercise all his wits to overcome the difficulty, instead of placidly accepting it as insuperable merely because the particular mode of dealing with it has proved to be ineffectual. A still better illustration, because it is one of almost universal application in litigation, is furnished by the mode of dealing with the infinitely different types of the *genus witness* at the stage of taking statements of evidence which they are able to give. What a field for tact and sound judgment is to be found here! The very word conjures up before the eyes of the solicitor of experience memories of the loquacious witness, the willing witness, the reluctant witness, the clear-headed witness, the stupid witness, the impatient witness, the indignant witness, the timid witness, the rash witness, the illiterate witness, the smooth-tongued witness, the brusque witness, and a hundred other species, embracing last, but by no means least, the witness with a decided capacity for adapting the facts to the object in view. Each of these will need his own special mode of treatment, just as different forms of illness call for different remedies. And, with regard especially to the last, the solicitor has always to keep before his eyes that the witness whose evidence-in-chief is, perhaps, rolling out as smoothly and favourably as heart can desire has to face the ordeal of cross-examination; that if he is tendered as a witness the party on whose behalf he is called must adopt him and

April 18, 1885.

father him, and be held to warrant him as the witness of truth. In a purely general sense the need of precaution in this respect applies, perhaps, more particularly to witnesses taken from the lower classes of society in whom lack of education, inaccuracy of thought and reasoning, and, perhaps also, an emulation to surpass other witnesses in the importance of their testimony, are apt to result in strange perversions of what would appear to be simple facts. And in a special sense the same need exists pre-eminently where the evidence of a witness tends to exculpate him from what is or might become an imputation of wrong-doing or neglect of duty on his own part. Absolute impartiality cannot be hoped for in such a case while human nature remains what it is; but even on the lowest grounds of policy the solicitor should never, when taking down the evidence of a witness, permit him to glide into statements which his own instinct repels until and unless he has thoroughly sifted them. A little quiet cross-examination in the solicitor's room may save a disastrous cross-examination in court.

We mentioned among others the reluctant witness. The solicitor is often confronted with the necessity or extreme desirability of obtaining evidence from a witness who is very unwilling to give it. In such a case the witness will naturally not receive the solicitor's approaches with urbanity. He may even assume a demeanour resembling that which induced the attorney in the play of "London Assurance" to place his person in a convenient posture for becoming the object of an ignominious assault, with a view to a subsequent action at law against the assailant. It cannot be pretended that the effort to procure evidence from an unwilling witness is an agreeable task. But the solicitor must do his best for his client, and, in this instance, his duty will require of him the display of much conciliation and self-restraint. Many a sullen witness, who originally refused point blank to open his mouth, has been converted by the solicitor's gentle arts into good humour, and has rendered an important service to the cause.

A volume might be written on this subject of the handling of witnesses at the stage of which we have been speaking, but we cannot not with a due regard to proportions enlarge on it beyond these few general observations and particular illustrations. Our object has been, not to attempt to explore exhaustively so wide a field, but to lay stress on the importance of realizing its existence. The young and inexperienced solicitor may well contemplate it with trepidation; it is far better that he should do so than suppose that when he has passed his final examination he has nothing more to learn. And if must, of course, be conceded that, given an equal measure of industry and zeal for the client, some solicitors possess greater aptitude for dealing with human nature, quicker perception, greater powers of learning by experience—in short, are more able—than others. Otherwise it may be conjectured that, making a liberal allowance for the many other causes at work, the contrasts of professional success and failure would be far less marked than they are. But there is a platform common to all alike. The dullest man can go to work in the right way as determinedly as the cleverest, though he may not be able to show the same results. If, for example, in the matter of getting up evidence a difficulty is met in giving effect to some recommendation given in an opinion of counsel, it is not a question of comparative ability or even of comparative experience whether a solicitor accepts the check without an effort to overcome it, or tries to attain the desired object in some other way. It is a question of whether he will face his duties in the right or the wrong way. The comparison of ability and experience arises not at this, but at the later stage when the ingenuity is being taxed as to the best *modus in quo*. We cannot too strongly urge on young practitioners the immense importance of endeavouring always to approach their professional work from the right point of view.

In working from the text of an opinion on evidence it is a simple but very useful plan to have a copy of it made 'half-margin,' and to note on that copy every step taken until the opinion is in one shape or another exhausted.

The following are simple instances of what we mean:—

Opinion.

John Smith should be subpoenaed to produce, &c.

The usual notices to admit and produce should be given, and in particular the defendant should be required to admit, &c.

It would be a saving of expense to both parties if the following facts which I imagine are really not in dispute were admitted—viz., &c.

If such an admission cannot be obtained, then the following evidence on these points will be necessary—viz., &c.

Notes.	
Subpoena issued . . .	27/3/85.
Served on J. S. . . .	28/3/85.
Notices prepared and served	27/3/85.
Documents admitted . . .	31/3/85.
Proposal to admit these facts made to other side	24/3/85.
Draft admission sent to them	26/3/85.
Admission signed . . .	27/3/85.
Admission obtained—evidence unnecessary	27/3/85.

Edward Sanderson should be called to prove, &c.	Proof taken	28/3/85.
It would be desirable to call two or three leading merchants to prove the custom alleged in paragraph 5 of the statement of claim.	Wrote to plaintiff as to this	24/3/85.
	Proofs obtained from James Brown, George Rawle, and Henry Church	26/3/85.

In this way the solicitor can always keep before his eyes what has been and what remains to be done, and this will be of service to him both in laying out his own work and in giving instructions to his clerks.

In this, as in our article of last week on the subject of obtaining advice on evidence, we have not for the most part separated oral from affidavit evidence; but now, as then, we wish, in conclusion, to say a few words on the latter class of evidence specially.

In taking down the statement of a witness preparatory to his giving evidence orally there is, no doubt, much opportunity for guiding his mind into particular channels, and even, perhaps, unconsciously causing him to take a new view of facts and inferences which he had previously regarded in a different way. But this statement is at most but a guide to the line of his examination, and between the witness, the opposing counsel, and the judge, his actual evidence given on oath will often shape itself in all sorts of unexpected ways at last. Not so in the case of an affidavit. The witness swears to it, but he does not prepare it. He adopts the language, but rarely originates it, and we fear it must be confessed does not always very clearly understand it. We suppose there is no help for this, which is certainly an evil, except the remedy which of late years has been so largely applied of confining the use of affidavit evidence within more and more narrow limits. But the practical reflection which arises on it appears to us to be this—that it behoves the solicitor, when taking evidence from a witness in this form, to satisfy himself most scrupulously that the deponent understands and is prepared to stand by every word of the affidavit, and never to allow his zeal to go the length of distorting in language the real meaning of the witness, or leading him into conclusions at which the solicitor in the interests of his client desires to arrive, and believes to be right, but which the deponent is not really prepared to the full extent to follow. It may seem that this warning is tantamount to saying solemnly that a solicitor should not encourage perjury; but this is not really so. The solicitor draws the affidavit, his mind is charged with a particular view, the deponent is apt to be careless of following the terms of the document (especially where it deals with a subject necessitating the use of technical wording), and to adopt it without very much reflection under a general sort of impression that it must be all right; and so the solicitor's view comes insensibly to permeate and colour the affidavit. Neither the solicitor nor the witness (in the very large majority of cases, at least) means to do anything wrong; but wrong is done in fact, and very often the evidence becomes at last discredited and worthless.

REVIEWS.

DOMESTIC RELATIONS.

THE LAW OF THE DOMESTIC RELATIONS, INCLUDING HUSBAND AND WIFE, PARENT AND CHILD, GUARDIAN AND WARD, INFANTS, AND MASTER AND SERVANT. BY WILLIAM PINDER EVERSLY, Barrister-at-Law. Stevens & Haynes.

In this formidable volume Mr. Eversley has collected with much labour the matter of three separate treatises. Five subjects, indeed, are included in his classification of the so-called "Domestic Relations," but his thousand pages are in reality occupied by three—viz., Husband and Wife, Infants, and Master and Servant. It is, we think, to be regretted that these subjects were not kept entirely separate and bound in different volumes. By their amalgamation an unnecessary strain is imposed both upon purse and muscle. As it is, in order to cite a passage on the law of master and servant, we are compelled to carry about several pounds' weight of "Husband and Wife"; while the conscientious guardian, who desires to know his duties and liabilities, cannot acquire the comparatively few pages which interest him without at the same time purchasing a quantity of law with which he has no concern. A guide-book to the Republics of Europe would possess the same kind of unity which is to be found in the "Domestic Relations," and the wayfarer on an Alpine slope might not unreasonably object to being weighed down with a mass of information concerning the (to him) irrelevant Republic of France.

Mr. Eversley, starting from the idea of the household, seems to have been misled by the desire of importing something of symmetry into English law; for he says in his preface: "Down to the present time there has been no one work published in England comprehen-

hensively treating of the legal relations of the various units that comprise the family or domestic group." This is perfectly true, for English law is essentially heterogeneous, and does not admit of being arranged round a central idea of this kind. The hopelessness of such an attempt has been long since demonstrated, and Mr. Eversley would have been wise to profit by the example of his Scotch predecessor, Lord Fraser, whose comprehensive treatise on the same subject has "long since been split up into separate volumes dealing with the component subjects." Half the present volume is occupied by a treatise on the law of husband and wife, and this part of the work seems to have been written at least three years ago, for the author tells us that much time has been consumed in re-writing or re-casting it after the passing of the Married Women's Property Act, 1882. This operation has, we think, been adequately performed, and the author may be congratulated upon having produced an excellent treatise on this branch of the law, well arranged, clearly written, and complete. A word of praise, too, must be accorded to the laborious care with which he has accumulated references to the various reports, and constructed his very full index.

CASES OF THE WEEK.

COURT OF APPEAL.

R. S. C., 1883, ORD. 58, R. 15—APPEAL—TIME—DIVORCE DIVISION—REFUSAL OF APPLICATION FOR NEW TRIAL—23 & 24 VICT. c. 144, s. 2; 44 & 45 VICT. c. 68, s. 9.—In a case of *Ahier v. Ahier*, before the Court of Appeal, No. 2, on the 14th inst., a question arose as to the time within which an appeal must be brought from the refusal of the Divorce Division to grant a new trial. Section 2 of the Act, 23 & 24 Vict. c. 144, provides that either party dissatisfied with the decision of the judge ordinary sitting alone "in granting or refusing an application for a new trial, which by virtue of this Act he is empowered to hear and determine, may, within fourteen days after the pronouncing thereof, appeal to the full court, whose decision shall be final." By section 9 of the Judicature Act, 1881 (44 & 45 Vict. c. 68), it is provided that "all appeals which, under section 55 of the Act 20 & 21 Vict. c. 85, or under any other Act, might be brought to the full court established by the said first-mentioned Act, shall henceforth be brought to her Majesty's Court of Appeal, and not to the said full court." The Court of Appeal (COTTON, BOWEN, and FAY, L.J.J.) held that the provision of the Act of 1860 is still in force, and that such an appeal must be brought within fourteen days, and not within twenty-one as is provided by rule 15 of order 58 of the R. S. C., 1883.—COUNSEL, *Inderwick, Q.C., and Bayford; C. H. Turner, Solicitors, Helder & Roberts; Turner, Son, & Norton.*

LEASE—CONSTRUCTION—EXCEPTION—RESERVATION—SPORTING RIGHTS.—In a case of *Houston v. The Marquis of Sligo*, before the Court of Appeal, No. 2, on the 14th inst., the question arose, upon the construction of a lease, whether the right of hunting, coursing, and shooting over the demised land had been granted to the tenant or reserved to the landlord. By the lease the defendant demised and granted to the plaintiff, his executors, administrators, and assigns, certain towns, lands, and premises in Ireland, "excepting and always reserving out of this demise" unto the lessor, his trustees and assigns, as his and their exclusive property, all timber and other trees and saplings whatsoever, &c. "And also excepting and reserving out of this demise to the lessor, his heirs and assigns, all mines and minerals of coal, lead, &c. And also reserving to the lessor, his heirs and assigns, and his and their servants, agents, and workmen, liberty of ingress, egress, and regress," to cut the timber and to work the mines, making compensation to the lessee "for all damage and injury that may be done in the exercise of the said reserved rights or any of them, and also (by way of grant and not of reservation) all such rights of fishing as are hereinafter mentioned and described, and the exclusive right of hunting, coursing, and shooting upon and over the said premises or otherwise to destroy the game and wild fowl thereon being, To have and to hold the said demised premises with the rights, members, and appurtenances thereto belonging or in anywise appertaining (except as before excepted) unto the lessee, his executors, administrators, and assigns," for the term of thirty-one years thereby granted. And it was thereby covenanted and agreed by and between the parties thereto that during the term of nine years from the 1st of January, 1882, the lessee, and his executors, administrators, or assigns, should have (with the exception thereafter mentioned) the exclusive right of fishing in two specified lakes and the river connecting them, "excepting and always reserving out of this demise" to the lessor, his heirs and assigns, for the inmates and guests of certain specified houses, the right at all times to fish in the two lakes and the river connecting them, with rods, but not cross lines. And further, that from and after the expiration or sooner determination of the term of nine years, the waters of the two lakes and the river connecting them, and all rights of fishing therein, should revert to and become the exclusive property of the lessor, his heirs and assigns, reserving nevertheless to the lessee, his executors, administrators, or assigns, for the inmates or guests of a specified house, a right during the remainder of the term of thirty-one years to fish in the two lakes and the river connecting them with single rods only, but not cross lines. And further, that the right of fishing in all other waters surrounded by or running through or adjoining the said

lands and premises thereby demised were thereby specially reserved to the lessor, his heirs, executors, administrators, and assigns, and his and their lessees or lessees, and such person or persons as he or they should license or permit, verbally or in writing, for sporting purposes, profit or otherwise. On behalf of the landlord it was contended that the words of exception, which applied to the mines and minerals, applied also to the rights of fishing and the right of hunting, coursing, and shooting, which were introduced by the words "and also," and that the words, "by way of grant, and not of reservation," were inserted because those rights were included in the initial demise of the lands, and it was therefore necessary that the tenant should re-grant them to the landlord. On behalf of the tenant, it was urged that the words of exception came to an end with the exception of the mines, and that with the words "and also (by way of grant, and not of reservation)" the grant by the landlord was resumed, and the effect was to give the rights after-mentioned to the tenant. The Court of Appeal (COTTON, BOWEN, and FAY, L.J.J.), affirming the decision of Pearson, J., held that the rights in question were reserved to the landlord.—COUNSEL, *Davey, Q.C., and R. C. Dobbs; Fischer, Q.C., and Mulligan, Solicitors, Roopers & Whately; Parkin & Woodhouse.*

HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE.

TRADE-MARK—FANCY WORDS—PATENTS, &c., ACT, 1883, s. 64 (c.).—In the case of *In re Friedlander's Trade-Mark*, before Chitty, J., on the 14th inst., the question arose whether the words, "Zephyr Asiatic Walnut Pipe" were capable of registration as fancy words within the Patents, &c., Act, 1883, s. 64, providing that the trade-mark must consist of one, at least, of the essential particulars stated, including, *inter alia* (section 64 (c.)), "a distinctive device, &c., or fancy word or words not in common use." CHITTY, J., said that the object of the new enactment was to alter the law as it formerly stood, and to get rid of such decisions as *Ford v. Foster* (20 W. R. 818, L. R. 7 Ch. 611), and *Ex parte Stephens* (24 W. R. 693, L. R. 3 Ch. D. 659), so as to enable such fancy words as Eureka, in the former case, and Aeilylon, in the latter, to be admitted to registration. But he was satisfied that it was not the intention of the Legislature to allow the registration of words like those before the court in the present case. These words did not comply with the conditions of section 64 (c.). They were not within its terms, being neither fancy words nor words in common use. "Asiatic Walnut" were merely descriptive words, and whether "Zephyr" was or was not a fancy word it was unnecessary to decide, for it was in common use, not only with respect to many articles of trade—e.g., jerseys—but also, as had been shown, with respect to the class of articles in respect of which it had been sought to be registered in the present case, being commonly applied to pipes of a particular construction. The words in question were, therefore, not admissible to registration as fancy words under section 64 (c.).—COUNSEL, *Romer, Q.C., and Frankau; Whitehorne, Q.C., and Horace Browne, Solicitors, Field, Roscoe, & Co.; Joel Emmanuel, & Co.*

R. S. C., 1883, ORD. 37, R. 21; ORD. 38, R. 28—CROSS-EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES—EXPENSES OF PRODUCTION.—In the case of *In re Baker (deceased), Connell v. Baker*, before Chitty, J., on the 14th inst.—being an action for administration against an executor—it appeared that, judgment having been given for a receiver, inquiries, and accounts, the defendant filed an affidavit after judgment, denying possession of any part of the estate, and, upon the plaintiff desiring to cross-examine, declined to attend before the examiner unless the plaintiff paid his expenses. The plaintiff, following the practice in *Stuart v. Balkis Company* (32 W. R. 676), served the defendant with a subpoena, and subsequently moved that he be ordered to attend at his own expense. The defendant submitted that the recent decision of *Backhouse v. Aloock* (33 W. R. 437), that, under R. S. C., 1883, ord. 37, r. 21; ord. 38, r. 28, the expenses of producing a deponent for cross-examination subsequently to the hearing or trial could not be demanded, in the first instance, from the party requiring production, was not applicable to a case where the deponent sought to be produced was the defendant himself; but that, in such a case, the proper procedure was for the plaintiff to produce the defendant as the plaintiff's witness, and move to commit in the event of the defendant's refusal to attend, or the plaintiff might ask the court to altogether ignore the affidavit upon which the defendant had not been cross-examined. CHITTY, J., said that it was open for the plaintiff to proceed in either way. He might either, in accordance with *Stuart v. Balkis Company*, move that the defendant attend at his own expense, or, in accordance with *Backhouse v. Aloock*, treat him as a deponent whose expenses, in the first instance, were payable by the party in whose behalf the affidavit had been made. That the deponent was himself the party made no difference, and to admit such a distinction would be taking too narrow a view of the practice as generalized by the Rules of 1883.—COUNSEL, *Renshaw; Bardsowell, Solicitors, Shaw & Tremellen, for G. H. & E. L. Phillips, Bacup, Lancashire; Sharpe, Parkers, Pritchard, & Sharpe, for Peace & Ellis, Wigan.*

R. S. C., 1883, ORD. 31—DISCOVERY—INTERROGATORIES—DOCUMENTS RELATING TO TITLE.—In the case of *Cayley v. The Sandycroft Brick Company*, before Pearson, J., on the 14th inst., there was a question as to discovery of documents. The plaintiffs alleged that they were entitled to the coal under certain land, and the action was brought to restrain the defendants from working the coal, and for an account of coal already worked by them. The plaintiffs delivered the following interrogatory to one of the partners in the defendants' firm: "Let the said J. S. set forth

under or by what (if any) conveyance, assignment, lease, licence, or authority the defendants' firm claim to be entitled to the coal or minerals underlying the said close, giving the dates and names of parties to any such conveyance, assignment, or lease, and the names of persons or respective persons from whom they allege that they obtained any such licence or authority, and stating whether the same be in writing or not." The defendant refused to answer, on the ground that the interrogatory related to the title of the defendants, and that the documents from which their title was derived related solely to the case of the defendants, and did not tend to support the case of the plaintiffs. *Pearson, J.*, held that an answer must be given to the interrogatory, though it did not follow that the defendants would be compelled to produce the documents hereafter. But the plaintiffs were entitled to know what case they had to meet. His lordship declined to lay down the extent to which the answer must go.—COUNSEL, *Coxens-Hardy, Q.C.*, and *E. S. Ford; Cookson, Q.C.*, and *Bagnold*. SOLICITORS, *Phelps, Sidgwick, & Biddle; Simpson & Co.*

OBITUARY.

SIR EDWARD SULLIVAN

The Right Hon. Sir Edward Sullivan, baronet, Lord High Chancellor of Ireland, died suddenly at his residence, in Fitzwilliam-square, Dublin, on the 13th inst., in his sixty-fourth year. The late Lord Chancellor was the son of Mr. Edward Sullivan, of Mallow, and was born in 1822. He was educated at Trinity College, Dublin, where he obtained first-class honours in classics and in science. He was called to the bar at Dublin in 1848, when he joined the Munster Circuit. His professional progress was so rapid that he became a Queen's Counsel in 1858, and a serjeant-at-law in 1860. He was legal adviser to the Lord-Lieutenant from 1861 till 1865, when he became Solicitor-General for Ireland under the second Government of Lord Palmerston, and, in the same year, he was elected M.P. for Mallow in the Liberal interest. He retired with his party in July, 1866; but, on Mr. Gladstone's accession to office in December, 1868, he was appointed Attorney-General for Ireland, and was sworn in as a member of the Irish Privy Council. He was one of the counsel in the celebrated *Velverton* trial at Dublin, and, as a law officer, he had the conduct of several important Fenian prosecutions. He rendered valuable aid to Mr. Gladstone in carrying the Irish Church Disestablishment Act of 1869, and in the preparation of the Land Act of 1870, before the passing of which he had succeeded the late Mr. Walsh as Master of the Rolls in Ireland. He was created a baronet in 1881. In 1883, on the death of Mr. Hugh Law, he was appointed a Commissioner of the Great Seal in Ireland, in conjunction with Lord Chief Baron Pallas and Lord Justice Barry, and, a few weeks afterwards, the Great Seal was conferred upon him. He rendered important services to Lord Spencer in carrying out the provisions of the Peace Preservation Acts, and, as a judge, he enjoyed the esteem and confidence of the whole of the Irish bar. Sir E. Sullivan had taken an active part in the reception of the Prince and Princess of Wales, being present in his official capacity at the Drawing-room, Levée, and State Ball. On the 10th inst., he attended the laying of the first stone by the Prince of Wales of the Dublin Museum of Science and Art, when he complained of having caught a chill. He, however, on the morning of the 13th inst. attended at the Castle and transacted some official business, and he afterwards visited his physician, but, shortly after his return home in the evening, he died suddenly from gout in the stomach. Sir E. Sullivan was married in 1850 to the daughter of Mr. Robert Bailey, of Cork, and he leaves three sons and one daughter. He is succeeded in the baronetcy by his eldest son, Mr. Edward Sullivan, who was born in 1852, and was called to the bar in Ireland in 1879.

The *Daily Express* says:—"Few men were more highly and deservedly respected than Sir Edward Sullivan, who won his way to the first position in the country under the Crown by his own abilities and character. He administered justice with a firm, yet gentle, hand, and inspired every suitor with trust in his unswerving uprightness, as well as in his learning and ability. His life throughout was distinguished for qualities, both intellectual and moral, which insure and deserve success. From the first he devoted himself with untiring industry to cultivate his natural gifts, and, in his academic career, he gave many a promise and earnest of the eminence to which he ultimately attained."

MR. JAMES MACPHERSON GRANT.

Mr. James Macpherson Grant, solicitor, who died at Melbourne a few days ago, was born at Alvie, Aberdeenshire, in 1822. Early in life he emigrated with his family to New South Wales, and for some years he served as a volunteer in the earlier campaigns against the Maoris in New Zealand. He practised for a few years at Sydney as a solicitor, but during the gold fever he visited California. After his return to Australia he settled in Victoria, and, after resuming his professional practice for a short time, he elected to devote himself entirely to political life. In 1855 he was elected to the Legislative Assembly of Victoria as the representative of the constituency of Bendigo. In 1861 he became Vice-President of the Board of Land and Works and Chief Commissioner of Public Works, and he was Commissioner of Lands from 1864 till 1869, and from June, 1871, till June, 1872. He was also twice Minister of Justice—namely, from January to October, 1875, and from 1877 till 1880—and from 1881 till 1883 he was Colonial Secretary of Victoria. Mr. Grant was one of the

advanced party in the Colony, and his name is associated with several important land law reforms.

MR. THOMAS GALE CURTLER.

Mr. Thomas Gale Curtler, solicitor, formerly town clerk of Worcester, died on the 28th ult. in his eighty-ninth year. Mr. Curtler was born in 1796. He was admitted a solicitor about the year 1818, having served his articles with his uncle, Mr. Thomas Gale, of Worcester, and he practised for over twenty years in that city, during a considerable portion of which period he filled the office of town clerk. He was appointed a magistrate for the city of Worcester in 1841, and a magistrate for Worcestershire in 1843. In the following year he was elected deputy chairman of quarter sessions for the county, in which capacity his former professional experience proved extremely valuable, and he filled that position till 1864. Shortly after his retirement, in recognition of his long and valuable services, his portrait was painted at the expense of the magistrates of the county, and was placed in the Town Hall. Mr. Curtler was also for many years chairman of the Committee of Visiting Justices of the Worcestershire Lunatic Asylum. He had been twice married, and he leaves three sons.

MR. HENRY LEWIS GREGORY.

Mr. Henry Lewis Gregory, solicitor, of Liverpool and Southport, died at his residence at the latter place, on the 29th ult., from congestion of the lungs. Mr. Gregory was born in 1829. He was admitted a solicitor in 1852, and he had since resided and practised at Southport, having also an office at Liverpool. He had an extensive business, and he had served the office of under sheriff of Lancashire. Mr. Gregory was for a short time a member of the Town Council of Southport, as a representative of the West Ward, and he was a magistrate for the boroughs of Liverpool and Southport. He was married in 1857 to Miss Crompton, of Bolton.

MR. JOHN LEE.

Mr. John Lee, solicitor, died at Brampton on the 25th ult., at the age of seventy-six. Mr. Lee was born in 1808. He was admitted a solicitor in 1835, and he practised for over forty years at Brampton as a member of the firm of Lee & Carrick. He had an extensive practice, and he had held several important appointments. He was formerly registrar of the Brampton County Court (Circuit No. 3), and he was also for several years clerk to the county magistrates for the Haltwhistle Division of Northumberland, clerk to the Haltwhistle Board of Guardians, superintendent registrar, and deputy coroner for the Eastern Division of Northumberland. He retired from business about eight years ago. His son, Mr. James Blacklock Lee, who was admitted a solicitor in 1860, is now registrar of the Brampton County Court, clerk to the Haltwhistle Highway Board, and superintendent registrar. Mr. Lee was chairman of the Brampton Gas Company. He leaves four sons and four daughters. He was buried at Brampton on the 30th ult.

MR. JAMES WITHERDEN MENPES.

Mr. James Withersden Menpes, solicitor, of Maidstone, died on the 8th inst., after a lingering illness. He was seized with paralysis last New Year's Day, and had ever since remained in an almost unconscious condition. Mr. Menpes was born in 1835, and he was admitted a solicitor in 1860. He was a perpetual commissioner for the county of Kent, and he was treasurer for the borough of Maidstone, and clerk to the Maidstone School Board, and to the Hollingbourne Highway Board. His death has caused universal regret at Maidstone.

MR. JOHN GRAHAM.

The late Mr. John Graham, parliamentary agent, of 3, Westminster Chambers, died at his residence, 35, Gloucester-street, on the 4th inst., aged sixty-nine. He was born at Castle Douglas, in the Stewartry of Kirkcudbright, and was educated there and at the Edinburgh University. After being for several years with a firm of writers to the Signet in Edinburgh, he, in 1845, came up to London and succeeded Messrs. Spottiswood & Robertson, probably the oldest firm of Scotch parliamentary agents. He enjoyed a considerable practice, both in appeals before the House of Lords and in committees; and besides being, until recently, agent for the towns of Peterhead and Greenock, he was at the time of his death agent for the Church of Scotland, the Corporation of Edinburgh, the Edinburgh Water Trust, and other public bodies in Edinburgh and other parts of Scotland. He was considered a very able, accurate, and experienced man of business. He was a member of the Parliamentary Agents' Society. He married the daughter of Provost William Young, of Castle Douglas, by whom he leaves two daughters and one son. His son, who was admitted a solicitor in 1872, and has been his partner for several years, succeeds him in his business.

MR. JUSTICE PRING.

Mr. Ratcliffe Pring, a puisne judge of the Supreme Court of the Colony of Queensland, died about a fortnight ago at Brisbane, in his sixtieth year. Mr. Justice Pring was the second son of Mr. Thomas Pring, of Crediton. He was born in 1825, and was educated at Shrewsbury School. He was called to the bar at the Middle Temple in Trinity Term, 1853, and four years later he emigrated to Sydney, where

for some time he practised as a barrister. In 1857 he was appointed Crown Prosecutor for the district of Moreton Bay, and in 1862 he was appointed a member of the Legislative Council of the Colony of Queensland. He was appointed a Queen's Counsel for that colony in 1866, and he acted as a gold commissioner in 1871. After the introduction of responsible government, he was elected a member of the Queensland Legislative Assembly, and he filled the office of Attorney-General under five separate ministries. In 1880 he was appointed a judge of the Supreme Court of the colony, and he held that office till his death.

LEGAL APPOINTMENTS.

The Hon. JOSELINE GEORGE HERBERT AMHERST, barrister, has been appointed Private Secretary to Sir Frederick Napier Broome, Governor of Western Australia. Mr. Amherst is the fourth son of the second Earl Amherst, and was born in 1846. He was educated at Harrow, and at Christ Church, Oxford, and he was called to the bar at the Inner Temple in Easter Term, 1874.

SIR HENRY WILMOT, baronet, M.P., has been elected Second Chairman of the Derbyshire Quarter Sessions.

MR. JOSEPH WILSON ROOKE, solicitor (of the firm of Mann & Rooke), of Manchester, has been appointed a Commissioner to administer Oaths in the Supreme Court of Judicature.

MR. SEPTIMUS JAMES THORP, solicitor, of Cardiff, has been elected Town Clerk of the Borough of Bury, Lancashire. Mr. Thorp was admitted a solicitor in 1882.

MR. WORLTHINGTON EVANS, solicitor, of 35, Eastcheap, has been elected Vestry Clerk of the Parish of St. Andrew Hubbard, on the resignation of Mr. John Hollams, jun. Mr. Evans is ward clerk of Billingsgate Ward. He was admitted a solicitor in 1848.

MR. HENRY WALWYN PIDCOCK, solicitor (of the firm of Pidcock & Sons), of Worcester, has been appointed a Commissioner to administer Oaths in the Supreme Court of Judicature.

MR. THOMAS MICKLEM, jun., solicitor (of the firm of Micklem, Hollingworth, & Monkland), of 19, Gresham-street, has been elected Vestry Clerk of the Parish of St. Mary Staining, in succession to his partner, the late Mr. Henry De Jersey. Mr. Micklem is the son of Mr. Thomas Micklem, solicitor. He was admitted a solicitor in 1876, and he has served the office of under-sheriff of London and Middlesex.

MR. FRANK RICHARDSON, solicitor (of the firm of Richardson & Sadler), of 28, Golden-square, has been appointed Solicitor to the Vintners' Company, in succession to Mr. Henry Homewood Crawford, who has been appointed City Solicitor. Mr. Richardson was admitted in 1856.

MR. JOHN PARKE CHATHAM, solicitor, of Hull, has been appointed Clerk to the Sculcoates Board of Guardians and Assessment Committee, and Superintendent Registrar for the district, in succession to his father, the late Mr. William Chatham. Mr. J. P. Chatham was admitted a solicitor in 1864.

MR. JOHN HARVEY HOOPER, solicitor (of the firm of Hooper & Hooper), of Worcester, has been appointed Registrar of the Diocese of Worcester, to act jointly with Mr. Alfred Catchmay Hooper. Mr. J. H. Hooper was admitted a solicitor in 1874. He is also joint secretary to the Bishop of Worcester, joint registrar of the Archdeaconry of Worcester, and joint chapter clerk of Worcester Cathedral.

His Honour Judge CHARLES SUMNER has been elected Deputy Chairman of the Gloucestershire Quarter Sessions. Judge Sumner is the eldest son of the late Right Rev. Charles Richard Sumner, D.D., Bishop of Winchester, and was born in 1818. He was educated at Balliol College, Oxford, where he graduated B.A. in 1840. He was called to the bar at the Middle Temple in Trinity Term, 1848, and he formerly practised on the Home Circuit and at the Surrey Sessions. He is chancellor of the diocese of Winchester, and he was appointed judge of county courts for Circuit No. 53 in 1866. He is a magistrate and deputy-lieutenant for Gloucestershire.

DR. WALTER CODD, solicitor (of the firm of G. R. Rogerson & Co.), of Liverpool, has been appointed a Commissioner to administer Oaths in the Supreme Court of Judicature. Dr. Codd gained an open scholarship at St. Peter's College, Cambridge, and was first mathematical prizeman each year of his residence there. He graduated with first class mathematical honours in the Mathematical Tripos, 1870. He subsequently was articled to Messrs. Valpy & Chaplin, of 19, Lincoln's-inn-fields, and passed the final examination of the Incorporated Law Society with honorary distinction. In August, 1878, he became a partner in the firm of G. R. Rogerson & Co., solicitors, of Liverpool. In October, 1882, he obtained the degree of LL.D. at the University of Cambridge.

MR. FRANCIS W. HUGHES, solicitor, of 152, Goldhawk-road, Shepherd's Bush, W., and 93, Edgware-road, W., has been appointed a Commissioner to administer Oaths in the Supreme Court of Judicature.

MR. FREDERICK ANDREW INDERWICK, Q.C., M.P., has been elected Mayor of the Corporation of Winchelsea for the ensuing year. Mr. Inderwick is the only son of Mr. Andrew Inderwick, and was born in 1836. He was educated at Trinity College, Cambridge. He was called to the bar at the Inner Temple in Hilary Term, 1858, and he became a Queen's Counsel in 1874. Mr. Inderwick is a member of the South-Eastern Circuit. He has been M.P. for Rye in the Liberal interest since April, 1880. He is a bencher of the Inner Temple and a magistrate for the county of Sussex.

SOCIETIES.

INCORPORATED LAW SOCIETY.

A special general meeting of the members of the society will be held in the hall of the society, on Thursday, 30th inst., at two o'clock precisely, to consider the subjects hereinafter mentioned, and of which notice has been duly given—viz. :

Mr. W. P. W. Phillimore will ask:—“1. How much was expended in 1884 by the society in their capacity of registrar of solicitors, and whether it is true that the expenditure exceeded the receipts from the statutory fees. 2. How much was spent in 1884 upon the education and examination of articled clerks and persons intending to become articled clerks.”

And will move:—“1. That this meeting requests the council for the future to supply separate annual accounts in respect of (a.) the expenditure of the society in its private capacity; (b.) the expenditure in respect of the duties of registrar of solicitors; (c.) the expenditure of the fees received from articled clerks. 2. That this meeting requests the council to urge upon the Government the propriety of abolishing or, at least, modifying the annual certificate duty by (a.) equalizing the amount of town and country certificates; (b.) intrusting the collection to the Income Tax Commissioners, with instructions to assess the duty in a similar manner to income tax—viz., by exempting those whose incomes are less than £150, with partial exemption for those less than £400.”

Mr. Charles Ford will move: “That the interests of articled law students (from an educational point of view) and the future of the profession (especially in relation to the possible amalgamation of its two branches) render it more than ever necessary that the provisions of section 8 of the Solicitors Act, 1877, should be strictly complied with by the society, and the council is instructed to carry to a separate account all fees referred to in such section, and invest the amount so accumulated from time to time at interest, and to draw up and submit to the society a scheme for securing to articled law students some better system of legal education.”

And will ask: “What has been done by the council in regard to the resolution which, on my motion at the April General Meeting of 1884, was carried unanimously, and which is as follows:—‘That the interests of solicitors and the convenience of the profession require that the practice which obtains in all the other divisions of the High Court of setting down motions, and of taking them in the order in which they stand in the lists, should be extended to the Chancery Division, and thus avoid the present confusion, expense, and delay which arise in such division in connection with motions to the court.’”

Mr. J. R. Macarthur will move:—“1. That, in the opinion of this meeting, the number of members who have joined the New Club is, comparatively speaking, so small as to constitute its formation a failure (even if it be assumed that it is legal). 2. That the important part of the society's premises now allotted to the New Club is required to be rendered available for the general body of the members. 3. That increased accommodation should be provided for the students who desire to use the books in the library, so that they may do so without interfering with the privileges of the members. 4. That whenever a notice of motion has been given by a member, and included in the notice convening a general meeting, if the member who gave the notice does not move pursuant to his notice, any member then present may adopt it as his own and move as if the notice of motion had been given by him.”

E. W. WILLIAMSON, Secretary.

LAW STUDENTS' JOURNAL.

COUNCIL OF LEGAL EDUCATION.

At a general examination of students of the Inns of Court, lately held at Lincoln's-inn Hall, the Council of Legal Education awarded to the following students certificates that they had satisfactorily passed a public examination:—

Anthony Aratoon Aveetoom, Middle Temple; William Paley Baldwin, Lincoln's-inn; Henry Barran, Inner Temple; Mancherjee Merwanjee Bhownagree, Lincoln's-inn; Charles Lutley Slater Booth, William Richard Brunsell Briscoe, and Kenworthy Brown, Inner Temple; Frederick Everard Colt, Lincoln's-inn; Charles Neville Cross, Alfred Hull Dennis, and John Grantham Dickson, Inner Temple; John Macgeah Dickson, Lincoln's-inn; William Josiah Disturnal, Inner Temple; Patrick Edward Dove, Lincoln's-inn; Henry Edward Duke, Gray's-inn; Henry Arthur Colmore Dunn, Lincoln's-inn; Edward David Evans, Middle Temple; Bertram Godfrey Falle, Allan Donald Fraser, Evan Cameron Galton, Charles Gawen Roberts Gawen, Ernest Augustine Gibson, Rockingham George Gill, and Henry Gonine, Inner Temple; Frederic Grimsdale, Middle Temple; William Cameron Gull, Lincoln's-inn; Herbert Haines and John Mainwaring Hall, Inner Temple; Francis Villiers Hornby and Thomas Mendelsohn Horsfall, Middle Temple; William Pingo Horton and George Richardson Jackson, Inner Temple; Sir Charles James Jessel, Lincoln's-inn; Rivers Ker and Burleigh Dunbar Kilburn, Inner Temple; Robert Leader, Lincoln's-inn; Arthur Lennard, Inner Temple; Neil Ross McKinnon, Middle Temple; Richard Edmund Mitcheson and Edward John Chalmers Morton, Inner Temple; Vernon Francis Page, Middle Temple; Lewis Palmer, Inner Temple; Alexander Wood Renton, Gray's-inn; Thomas Stanley Rogers, Lincoln's-inn;

Rupert Theodore Walton Sercombe and Ardesir Kavasji Sethna, Middle Temple; Robert George Seton, Inner Temple; William Marno Slorach and Ernest Spencer, Middle Temple; Donald Charles Stewart, Lincoln's-inn; Henry Minshull Stockdale, John St. Loo Strachey, and Theodore Edward Strachey, Inner Temple; Theodore Rivers Dale Walrond, Lincoln's-inn; Arthur James Walter, Inner Temple; Sidney Clemens Watson, Lincoln's-inn; Benjamin Webster and George Watkins Yardley, Inner Temple.

The following students have passed a satisfactory examination in Roman law:—

Tahir Uddin Ahmed, Middle Temple; Tetlur Billigirirangam Rama-swami Aiengar, Inner Temple; Abdul Ali, William Edwin Barling, Francis Beeby, and George Oliver Bellwells, Middle Temple; John George Quiddington Besch, Lincoln's-inn; Umar Buksah, Middle Temple; Alexander Hall Carrington and Egerton Smith Castle, Inner Temple; Byomkesh Chakravarti, Lincoln's-inn; Henry Milwawn Chataway, Middle Temple; Henry Francis Cockburn and Arthur Coomber, Inner Temple; David William Garden Cowie, Gray's-inn; Mancherji Byramji Dadabhoy and Pandit Bishan Narayan Dar, Middle Temple; Francis Edward Prescott Decie, Lincoln's-inn; Frederick Chester Wells Durrant, Middle Temple; John Hugh Armstrong Elliot, Inner Temple; Henry Savage Sharpe Elwin, Middle Temple; Geoffrey Evan Fairfax, William Arthur Wilson Fox, Charles Storey Gilman, Thomas Robinson Grey, and Gawayne Baldwin Hamilton, Inner Temple; John Joseph Hayden, Gray's-inn; Joseph Hurst, Inner Temple; Josiah Charles Eagle Knight and Edmund Prior Leresche, Middle Temple; Gerald Champion Lewis and Edwin Archibald Ley, Inner Temple; Guy Lushington, Herbert James Hay Mackay, Arthur Marshall, Lovegrove Griffith Hubert Mayer, and Syud Mahomed Nabiullah, Middle Temple; John Ildy Dillwyn Nicoll and James Morton Nicholson, Inner Temple; Charles Edmund Pearson, Lincoln's-inn; Joseph Gerald Pease, Inner Temple; Wyndham Yates Peel and Aubrey Percival Pennell, Middle Temple; Lal Piyare, Inner Temple; Nelson Prower, Middle Temple; Khushwakht Rai and Alfred John Read, Inner Temple; Robert Whitfield Rippon, Middle Temple; William Robert Roberts and John Henry Roskill, Inner Temple; Fedor Andrew Satow, Herbert Edward Sawyer, and Charles Sharp, Middle Temple; John Sidney Snelgrave and Frederick William Spinks, Inner Temple; William George Stack, Gray's-inn; Frederick Augustus Shafto Steele, Inner Temple; Francis Seymour Stevenson, Lincoln's-inn; Samuel Alexander Henry Strahan, Middle Temple; Charlton Swinhoe, Lincoln's-inn; Lupton Topham Topham, Middle Temple; William Naylor Vallance, Gray's-inn; Edward Graiville Waddilove, Lincoln's-inn; Charles Gregory Wade, James George Walker, and Thomas Hollis Walker, Inner Temple; Arthur Beaumont Wells, Gray's-inn; Charles Hanbury Williams, Lincoln's-inn; Christopher Mounsey Wilson, Inner Temple; David Wilson, Gray's-inn; Henry Edward Wise, Lincoln's-inn; Woodley Henry Boscowen Wright, Middle Temple; and Hugh Richard Penfold Wyatt, Inner Temple.

LAW STUDENTS' DEBATING SOCIETY.

The usual weekly meeting of this society was held at the Law Institution, Chancery-lane, on Tuesday, the 14th of April; Mr. Elmslie in the chair. The question for discussion was as follows:—"That the insanity, supervening after marriage, of either husband or wife, should form a ground for the dissolution of the marriage contract." The debate was opened in the affirmative by Mr. Riddell, who was followed on the same side by Messrs. Woodroffe, Nicholls, and Lithiby. The negative was supported by Messrs. Oldham, J. C. Wheeler, Rhys, A. Austin, Dodd, and J. W. Ellis. The opener having replied, the question was put to the meeting, and was decided in the negative by a majority of six votes.

NEW ORDERS, &c.

HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE.

QUEEN'S BENCH DIVISION.

A to F.—All applications by summons or otherwise in actions assigned to Master Sir Frederick Pollock are to be made returnable before him in his own room, No. 173, at 11.30 a.m., on Tuesdays, Thursdays, and Saturdays. All applications by summons or otherwise in actions assigned to Master Gordon are to be made returnable before him in his own room, No. 183, at 11.30 a.m., on Tuesdays, Thursdays, and Saturdays. G to N.—All applications by summons or otherwise assigned to Master Kaye are to be made returnable before him in his own room, No. 181, at 11.30 a.m., on Tuesdays, Thursdays, and Saturdays. All applications by summons or otherwise in actions assigned to Master Hon. R. Butler are to be made returnable before him in chambers on Mondays, Wednesdays, and Fridays. O to Z.—All applications by summons or otherwise in actions assigned to Master Benett are to be made returnable before him in his own room, No. 179, at 11.30 a.m., on Wednesdays, Thursdays, and Saturdays. All applications by summons or otherwise in actions assigned to Master Manley Smith are to be made returnable before him in his own room, No. 114, at 11.30 a.m., on Tuesdays, Thursdays, and Saturdays. All applications by summons or otherwise in actions assigned to the Hon. Master Romilly are to be made returnable before him in his own room, No. 185, at 11.30 a.m., on Mondays, Wednesdays, and Fridays.

THE BANKRUPTCY ACT, 1883.

BOARD OF TRADE, March 27.

The Board of Trade, in virtue of the powers conferred upon them by the 153rd section of the Bankruptcy Act, 1883, and with the concurrence of the Treasury, have appointed Mr. John Smith, Inspector-General in Bankruptcy, to perform the remaining duties of the office of Comptroller in Bankruptcy, in place of Mr. Robert Giffen, one of the Assistant Secretaries of the said Board.

LEGAL NEWS.

On the 14th inst., upon the application of Mr. J. S. Merton, solicitor, of Selborne-chambers, Chancery-lane, the petitioning creditor in person. Mr. Registrar Murray made a receiving order against Mr. Benn Davis, solicitor, of Cork-street, Burlington-gardens.

The *Times* states that Mr. Stephenson, the Director of Public Prosecutions, has addressed a communication to the Gravesend Town Clerk (Mr. Sharland), with reference to public prosecutions, in which he states that, in his opinion, the Legislature intended that he should interfere only in very important cases, such as capital cases and those relating to the Post Office and the Mint.

We are requested to say that the National Footpath Preservation Society, which has been instrumental in saving one of the most beautiful walks in Westmoreland, wishes to have honorary solicitors in all the principal towns in the kingdom—several have already been appointed; but an honorary solicitor is required in Bath, Canterbury, Derby, Gloucester, Leeds, Lincoln, Manchester, York, &c. Solicitors interested in preserving public rights should write to Mr. Allnutt, 42, Essex-street, Strand.

In the House of Lords on Monday Lord Coleridge said:—"It chanceth from circumstances with which I need not trouble your lordships that when I was Chief Justice of the Common Pleas Lord Cairns often consulted me as to judicial appointments which he had to fill, and which had been usually filled by members of the common law bar, with whom, in the nature of things, he could not have had much acquaintance. I do not suggest that he always took my advice. Lord Cairns was too great a man, he had too independent a mind, not to rely in the last resort upon his own judgment. He used the judgment of other men as materials to form his own. But this I may say, as those who knew him best must know, that he was always guided by the severest integrity, and always animated by a single-minded desire to do his duty as he understood it. It might be said that by those on both sides who disposed of judicial appointments politics have for many years been disregarded, but anyone acquainted with public affairs must know that it is not an easy thing to resist the importunities of men who, perhaps, from the nature of the case, are not aware of the great public mischief that is done by incompetent persons acting in a judicial position. I may venture to say that Lord Cairns paid marked disregard to the importance of such men, and would not appoint anyone whom he did not believe to be fully competent. In one case I suggested to him to fill a judicial position one whose competence no one who knew him would venture to deny, and he declined to appoint him. I may speak of the case now without risk of doing any harm. I suggested that the late Mr. Benjamin should be appointed to the bench—a man whom I was anxious to have seen among the judges of England, and who, to my knowledge, would have felt himself honoured by being placed among them. But Lord Cairns refused to consider his claim, and he refused on grounds which I cannot help admitting were, at the time, urgent and forcible, and would by most men be held to be conclusive. I am sure that in not appointing that eminent person Lord Cairns acted against his own wishes and on the purest and most patriotic motives."

In an interesting paper on Coroners, prepared at the request of the Bristol Law Students' Society by Mr. E. M. Harwood, deputy-coroner for Bristol, and read at the society's meeting on the 3rd of February last, the writer says:—"I cannot help thinking that the present system should not be materially altered without much consideration. If coroners only do their duty conscientiously and without fear or favour, it is better to continue the present form of public inquiry, rather than to leave the discretion in the hands of a magistrate or any other higher official. In cases such as that of Mr. Acton, where the cause of death can be proved beyond all doubt by witnesses like the medical men who made the *post-mortem* examination, and whose statements were beyond suspicion, there would be no necessity to hold an inquest; but there are cases continually arising where, if no inquiry were held, the public would not be satisfied, and it might even become necessary to have the body exhumed after burial for examination. It is therefore better sometimes to hold an inquest, even if the coroner has himself come to the conclusion that there is no real necessity for it, the death being, in fact, a natural death, if only to put a stop to idle rumours. In a recent case here, in which the medical report showed that the death was from purely natural causes (as was afterwards proved), I thought it necessary to hold an inquest, in consequence of rumours of foul play, which would only have been aggravated had no inquiry taken place in public. Another benefit derived from having an inquiry in public and before jurors is, that oftentimes their personal knowledge is brought to bear on the subject, and is found of great assistance. Jurors are usually summoned from the parish or

immediate neighbourhood, and often possess intimate knowledge of the deceased, which is of great help in investigating the cause of death. For this reason I think the course generally adopted, of holding the inquest in or near the place where the body lies, is preferable to that which prevails in some other places, such as Liverpool, where the coroner and jury—as I am informed—go from place to place in vehicles to view the different bodies, and then hold all the inquests before one jury, in a court provided for the purpose. It is true that it is sometimes very disagreeable and inconvenient to have to sit in small and uncomfortable public-house parlours or taprooms, as we have often to do; but then there is the advantage alluded to of having generally a separate jury in each case, some of whom are likely to have personal knowledge of the deceased and of his habits and circumstances, although the better course, perhaps, would be to take their evidence as witnesses, filling up the jury with others."

At the Mansion House Police-court, on Wednesday, G. R. Harrison was summoned before Alderman Sir Andrew Lusk, at the instance of the Incorporated Law Society, for a contravention of the Solicitors Act of 1874 by wilfully and falsely pretending to be a solicitor. Mr. C. O. Humphreys, solicitor, appeared for the Incorporated Law Society in support of the summons; Mr. W. B. Woodgate, barrister, was for the defence. Mr. Humphreys said that the penalty for a contravention of the particular section of the Act was £10, supposing the court should be of opinion that the case was proved. There was a Mr. Everett, who was indebted to the Gas Light and Coke Company in a certain small sum of money. He was summoned by them for the payment of the sum, and went to 7, Apollo-court, Fleet-street. On the doorpost of that house appeared the name, "Mr. Harrison, solicitor," and on the door of a room upstairs the same name appeared, but without the professional designation; under it, in small letters, being "Mr. Whiffin." Mr. Everett went there and saw Mr. Whiffin, and gave him certain instructions with reference to the claim of the Gas Light and Coke Company. Subsequently the company received a letter addressed from 7, Apollo-court, and signed "G. R. Harrison," stating, in effect, that Mr. Everett would pay the amount due by monthly instalments of 10s. The company took no notice of the letter, but, on the 14th of October, they received another communication signed "G. R. Harrison." Mr. Dove, who represented the Gas Light and Coke Company, answered the letter, and, on the 25th of October, received another communication on the subject. The matter was ultimately brought to the attention of the Incorporated Law Society, and, as no solicitor named G. R. Harrison appeared in the *Law List* for 1884 as practising at 7, Apollo-court, Fleet-street, the present proceedings were instituted. Mr. Woodgate said that what Mr. Humphreys would have to prove was that the defendant was the G. R. Harrison, the person who wrote the letters. It was emphatically denied that the defendant had had anything to do with the matter at all. There were a good many Harrisons in the world, and his client was not the person referred to. Mr. Everett was then called, and spoke to having called at the office in Apollo-court, but said he did not see the defendant there. In cross-examination by Mr. Woodgate the witness said he never saw the defendant in his life before. Another gentleman who was present in court was the Mr. Harrison whom he saw at the office. The gentleman referred to said that he was Mr. G. W. W. R. Harrison, and was a properly qualified solicitor, his name being in the *Law List*. Detective Taylor deposed that he served the summons on the defendant at the chambers of his employer, a barrister, in Middle Temple-lane. The defendant said he was not G. R. Harrison, but his name was George Harrison. Police-constable Pegram said he had seen the defendant at Apollo-court, but never in company with Mr. Whiffin. He had never known the defendant as the Mr. Harrison who had the office. In the course of some discussion Mr. Humphreys said he was unable to prove the handwriting of the letters. Sir Andrew Lusk, in the result, said that the prosecution had failed to prove that the defendant had ever professed or pretended to be a solicitor, and the case consequently had completely broken down. He therefore dismissed the summons, and said that the defendant left the court without a stain upon his character. Sir Andrew Lusk granted £2 2s. costs.

LEGISLATION OF THE WEEK.

HOUSE OF LORDS.

April 13.—*Bills Read a Second Time.*

Criminal Law Amendment.

Army (Annual).

Bill Read a Third Time.

Liverpool Cathedral.

April 14.—*Bill in Committee.*

Army (Annual).

Bill Read a Third Time.

PRIVATE BILL.—Fulwood Local Board.

HOUSE OF COMMONS.

April 9.—*Bills Read a Second Time.*

PRIVATE BILLS.—Auld's Patent; Newark Markets and Fairs; Tilbury and Gravesend Tunnel Junction Railway (Abandonment).

Egyptian Loan.

Bills Read a Third Time.

PRIVATE BILLS.—Oswestry (Corporation) Water; Runcorn Gas; Selby and Mid-Yorkshire Union Railway; Skipton and Kettlewell Railway.

April 10.—*Bill Read a Second Time.*

PRIVATE BILL.—Canada North-West Land Company.

Bill in Committee.

Local Authorities (Expenses of Conferences).

April 13.—*Bills Read a Second Time.*

PRIVATE BILLS.—Northampton and Banbury and Metropolitan Junction Railway; Worcester and Broom Railway (No. 1).

Bill in Committee.

Redistribution.

Bills Read a Third Time.

PRIVATE BILLS.—Blackburn Water; Caterham Spring Water; Maidstone Water; North Cornwall Railway; Oxford Corporation Water, Rickmansworth Water.

April 14.—*Bills Read a Second Time.*

PRIVATE BILLS.—Bexhill Direct Railway; Birmingham Electric Lighting; London, Tilbury, and Southend Railway.

Bill in Committee.

Redistribution.

COURT PAPERS.

SUPREME COURT OF JUDICATURE.

ROTA OF REGISTRARS IN ATTENDANCE ON

Date.	APPEAL COURT No. 1.	APPEAL COURT No. 2.	V. C. BACON.	Mr. Justice KAY.
Mon., Apr. 20	Mr. Carrington	Mr. Clowes	Mr. Pugh	Mr. Ward
Tuesday ... 21	Jackson	Kee	Lavie	Pemberton
Wednesday ... 22	Lavie	Clowes	Pugh	Ward
Thursday ... 23	Pugh	Kee	Lavie	Pemberton
Friday ... 24	Mervilale	Clowes	Pugh	Ward
Saturday ... 25	King	Kee	Lavie	Pemberton

Date.	Mr. Justice CHITTY.	Mr. Justice NORTH.	Mr. Justice PEARSON.
Monday, Apr. 20	Mr. Jackson	Mr. King	Mr. Farrer
Tuesday 21	Carrington	Mervilale	
Wednesday 22	Jackson	King	Farrer
Thursday 23	Carrington	Mervilale	
Friday 24	Jackson	King	Farrer
Saturday 25	Carrington	Mervilale	

EASTER Sittings, 1885.

COURT OF APPEAL.

Appeal Court, I.

Final and interlocutory appeals from the Queen's Bench Division, from the Probate, Divorce, and Admiralty Division (Admiralty), and from the London Bankruptcy Court.

ORDER OF BUSINESS.

(App. motas, ex pte-orgl. mots.—and apps. from ords made on interlocutory mots and also apps from the final list if required.)

Wednesday ... 15 Apps from the Q. B. Final

Thursday ... 16 List

Friday 17 Bkwy apps & apps from Q. B.

Final List if necessary

Sat. 18 Apps from the Q. B. Final

Monday ... 20 List

Tuesday ... 21 Apps from the Q. B. Final

Wednesday ... 22 Apps, ex pte-orgl. mots.—and apps. from ords made on interlocutory mots and also apps from the final list if required.

Thursday ... 23 Apps from the Q. B. Final

Friday 24 List

Saturday ... 25 Apps from the Q. B. Final

Monday ... 26 List

Tues., ... 27 Apps from the Q. B. Final

Wednesday ... 28 Apps, ex pte-orgl. mots.—and apps. from ords made on interlocutory mots and also apps from the final list if required.

Thursday ... 29 Apps from the Q. B. Final

Friday 30 List

Saturday ... 31 Apps from the Q. B. Final

Monday ... 32 General List

Tuesday ... 33 Apps from the Chancery General List

Wednesday ... 34 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Thursday ... 35 Apps from the Probate General List

Friday 36 Apps from the Divorce General List

Saturday ... 37 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Monday ... 38 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Tuesday ... 39 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Wednesday ... 40 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Thursday ... 41 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Friday 42 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Saturday ... 43 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Monday ... 44 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Tuesday ... 45 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Wednesday ... 46 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Thursday ... 47 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Friday 48 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Saturday ... 49 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Monday ... 50 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Tuesday ... 51 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Wednesday ... 52 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Thursday ... 53 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Friday 54 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Saturday ... 55 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Monday ... 56 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Tuesday ... 57 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Wednesday ... 58 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Thursday ... 59 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Friday 60 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Saturday ... 61 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Monday ... 62 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Tuesday ... 63 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Wednesday ... 64 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Thursday ... 65 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Friday 66 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Saturday ... 67 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Monday ... 68 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Tuesday ... 69 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Wednesday ... 70 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Thursday ... 71 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Friday 72 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Saturday ... 73 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Monday ... 74 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Tuesday ... 75 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Wednesday ... 76 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Thursday ... 77 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Friday 78 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Saturday ... 79 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Monday ... 80 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Tuesday ... 81 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Wednesday ... 82 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Thursday ... 83 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Friday 84 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Saturday ... 85 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Monday ... 86 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Tuesday ... 87 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Wednesday ... 88 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Thursday ... 89 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Friday 90 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Saturday ... 91 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Monday ... 92 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Tuesday ... 93 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Wednesday ... 94 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Thursday ... 95 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Friday 96 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Saturday ... 97 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Monday ... 98 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Tuesday ... 99 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Wednesday ... 100 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Thursday ... 101 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Friday 102 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Saturday ... 103 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Monday ... 104 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Tuesday ... 105 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Wednesday ... 106 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Thursday ... 107 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Friday 108 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Saturday ... 109 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Monday ... 110 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Tuesday ... 111 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Wednesday ... 112 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Thursday ... 113 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Friday 114 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Saturday ... 115 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Monday ... 116 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Tuesday ... 117 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Wednesday ... 118 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Thursday ... 119 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Friday 120 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Saturday ... 121 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Monday ... 122 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Tuesday ... 123 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Wednesday ... 124 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Thursday ... 125 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Friday 126 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Saturday ... 127 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Monday ... 128 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Tuesday ... 129 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Wednesday ... 130 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Thursday ... 131 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Friday 132 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Saturday ... 133 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Monday ... 134 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Tuesday ... 135 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Wednesday ... 136 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Thursday ... 137 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Friday 138 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Saturday ... 139 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Monday ... 140 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Tuesday ... 141 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Wednesday ... 142 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Thursday ... 143 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Friday 144 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Saturday ... 145 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Monday ... 146 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Tuesday ... 147 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Wednesday ... 148 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Thursday ... 149 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Friday 150 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Saturday ... 151 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Monday ... 152 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Tuesday ... 153 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Wednesday ... 154 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Thursday ... 155 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Friday 156 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Saturday ... 157 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Monday ... 158 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Tuesday ... 159 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Wednesday ... 160 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Thursday ... 161 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Friday 162 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Saturday ... 163 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Monday ... 164 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Tuesday ... 165 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Wednesday ... 166 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Thursday ... 167 Apps from the Admiralty General List

Friday 168 Apps from the Admiralty General List

- In re Towgood, dec Towgood v Moggridge app of debt from order of Mr Justice Kay July 3
 Morton v Hallett app of debt from judge of Mr Justice Chitty July 4
 Brown, Shipley & Co v Kough and anr app of debt from judge of Mr Justice Chitty July 5
 Watkins Gould app of debt from judge of Mr Justice Pearson July 7
 In re Dunning, decd, Hatherley v Dunning app of debt from order of Mr Justice Pearson July 11
 In re Felgate, an infant, by W Felgate, his next Friend app of Infant by next Friend from order of V C Bacon July 11
 In re Hubback, decd, International Marine Hydropathic Co, Ltd v Hawes and anr app of debts from refusal of V C Bacon July 12
 Gandy v Gandy app of debt Maurice Gandy from judge of V C Bacon July 15
 In re Watts, decd, Cornford v Elliott app of debt from judge of Mr Justice Pearson on special case July 16
 Gray v Jones app of debt from refusal of Mr Justice Chitty July 17
 Washbourne and Moen Manufacturing Co v Patterson app of debt from judge of V C Bacon July 18
 In re Gorsuch, decd, Gorsuch v Howden app of debt from judge of Mr Justice Pearson July 18
 In re Compton, decd, Norton and Eccles v Compton app of debt W M Compton from order of Mr Justice Pearson July 19
 Mandy v Morris app of debt from judge of Mr Justice Pearson July 19
 In re F H Jackson and G B Jackson and ors, Charlton v Charlton app of debt E C Charlton and ors from order of Mr Justice Pearson July 21
 Webb v Smith and Goldsmith app of debt from order of V C Bacon on Chief Clerk's certificate July 25
 Cradock v Rogers app of debt from judge of Mr Justice North July 25
 In re Olive, decd, Olive v Westerman app of debt from order of Mr Justice Kay July 28
 Gear v Ronquette app of debt from judge of V C Bacon July 30
 In re Knatchbull's Estate and The Settled Land Act 1882 app of Sir Wyndham Knatchbull, Bart from order of Mr Justice Pearson July 31 (to be advanced and come into paper on 2nd day of Easter Sittings by order)
 Horner v The Whitechapel Board of Works app of debt from judge of V C Bacon Aug 1
 In re W W Anderson's application to register a Trade Mark, No 26,385, and T M Acts 1873-76 app of W W Anderson from refusal of Mr Justice Chitty Aug 5
 In re Swire, decd, Mellor v Swire app of Edwin Storer from order of V C Bacon Aug 6
 In re Lulham, decd, Brinton v Lulham app of debts from order of Mr Justice Kay Aug 6
 In re Matthews, decd, Matthews v Matthews app of debt from judge of V C Bacon Aug 8
 In re Harrington, decd, Halstead v Cowen app of debt from order of Mr Justice Kay on fur con and summons to vary Aug 9
 In re The Norwich Equitable Fire Assurance Co and Co's Acts (Brasnett's claim) app of Chas Brasnett from judge of V C Bacon Aug 9
 In re The Anglo-Indian and Colonial Industrial and Commercial Institution Ltd and Co's Acts app of The Co from order of V C Bacon directing Co to be wound up Aug 12
 Bright v Campbell Sievier v Campbell app of debt Wm Betts from order of V C Bacon Aug 12
 (Divorce) Emma Cleaver, petr, v Frederick Cleaver, resp app of respt from decree of Sir James Hannan in divorce made absolute Aug 12
 Lawson v Vacuum Brake Co id app of debt from refusal of V C Bacon to postpone trial of action Aug 13 Lawson v Vacuum Brake Co id app of debt from judge of V C Bacon Aug 13
 The Colonial Bank v Whinney app of debt from judge of V C Bacon Aug 18
 In re Jordan, decd, Kino v Pliard app of debt R J Jordan from order of Mr Justice Pearson Aug 20
 In re G Brown, decd, Brown v Brown app of debts J E Brown and anr from order of V C Bacon Aug 20
 In re C H Glascodine and F J Carlyle, Solicitors app of The Swansea Dry Docks, &c, Co, Ltd from order of V C Bacon for taxation Aug 20
 Bridge v Pease, Bart app of debts Sir J W Pease and ors from order of Mr Justice Chitty on fur con Aug 20
 In re Ellis, decd, Ellis v Elmshore app of debts from order of V C Bacon Aug 20
 Bowerbank v Frodsham app of debts from refusal of Mr Justice Pearson Aug 21
 In re J Needham, decd, Robinson v Needham app of debts from V C Bacon Aug 21
 In re Taylor's Settlement and Conveyancing, &c, Act, 1851 app of H Taylor and anr from order of V C Bacon Aug 22
 In re W Fowler, decd, Coleman v Wieman app of debts F C Fowler and anr from order of Mr Justice Kay Aug 23
 In re Richardson, decd, Richardson v Hobson app of debt W W Hobson from order of V C Bacon and notice of contention by plaintiff Aug 27
 In re a Contract between W S Porta and ors and H Lamb and V and P Act 1874 app of W S Porta and ors from order of Mr Justice Kay Aug 29
 In re Hopkins decd, Wright v Hopkins app of the Rev F P Phillips from order of V C Bacon Sept 9
 Harvey v Oliver app of debts J O Vaillancourt and anr from judge of V C Bacon Sept 10
 In re Horsley, decd, Druitt v Mayo app of debts and M J Druitt from order of Mr Justice Pearson on fur conson Sept 12
 Bradford v Young In re Falconer's will and 10 and 11 Vic c 96 app of H Thornton from judge of Mr Justice Pearson Oct 15
 In re The Universal Fire Insur Co Id & Co's Acts app of Alfred Good (late official liquidator) from order of Mr Justice Kay Nov 7
 Lockwood v Sikes, knt app of debts Sikes and Hall from judge of Mr Justice Kay Nov 11
 In re Sarah Dunn, decd, Jordan v Jordan app of debt from order of Mr Justice Kay Nov 12
 W st London Commercial Bank, Id v The Reliance Permanent Bldg Soc app of debts from part of judge of V C Bacon Nov 18
 In re a Contract for Sale of Land situated at Woking Surrey, between Thomas Johnson (vendor) and Charles D Tustin (purchaser) and V and P Act 1874 app of purchaser from decision of Mr Justice Pearson as to costs Nov 19
 In re The Rocking Bar Fire Syndicate Id & Co's Acts ex pte Edward Kensington app of Edward Kensington from refusal of Mr Justice Kay Nov 21
- Ashbury (on behalf of self and all other preference shareholders in the Sonyma and Cassava Ry Co Id except debts) v Watson and ors app of debt from judge of Mr Justice Kay Nov 26
 (Probate) In re The Goods of John Hill Morgan, decd Elizabeth Goulstone v E F Wood (J Green and ors interveners) app of Caroline Tilly and Mary Kingdom from judge of Mr Justice Batt, dated Oct 29, pronouncing for validity of will Nov 29
 Same v Same app of J Green and Herbert H W James from same judge Dec 2
 Colman v Colman app of debt from judge of Mr Justice North Dec 4
 (Divorce) De la Force, petr v De la Force, resp app of petr Maria Esther Da la Force from judge of Mr Justice Batt, dated Nov 19, dismissing petr for judicial separation Dec 4
 Pilley v Martyn app of debt from judge of Mr Justice Kay Dec 5
 Local Board of Health for the District of Witham, County of Essex v the Rev W M Oliver app of debt from order of Mr Justice Pearson Dec 15
 The Capital and Counties Bank, Id v Gerussi app of debt from judge of Mr Justice Field for Mr Justice Chitty Dec 16
 In re a Contract, dated June 23, 1884, between Maria Glenton and Godfrey Samuel Saunders (vendors) and John Fielder Haden (purchaser) and V and P Act, 1874, 37 & 38 Vict c 78 app of purchaser from order of V C Bacon Dec 17 Hyde v Edmunds app of debts from order of Mr Justice Kay Dec 17
 Edward v Dennis app of debt from judge of Mr Justice A L Smith for V C Bacon Dec 18
 In re C Roberts, decd Tarleton v Bruton app of R C Tarleton, spinster, by next friend (having liberty to attend) from order of Mr Justice Pearson on fur con Dec 20
 In re an Arbitration between John Sharman, John Badcock Harris, and Gavin Brown Clark, and in re 9 & 10 Will 3, an Act for determining disputes by arbitration app of G B Clark from order of Mr Justice Kay setting aside award Dec 22
 Mac Dougall v Knight app of debts from judge of Mr Justice North Dec 23
 In re a Contract for Sale of Real Estate made between Peter Paget (official assignee, dec) and William Frederick Williams, of Burlington Bldgs, Regent St, and V and P Act, 1874 app of W F Williams from order of Mr Justice Chitty Dec 23
- 1885.
- Fane v Dalton app of debts F T King and anr, by next friend, from V C Bacon Jan 1
 In re United Stock Exchange Co Id & Co's Acts app of petr R S Agnew from refusal of Mr Justice Pearson Jan 8
 In re a Contract for the Sale of Premises, No 9 Gt James St, Bedford Row, dated June 16, 1894 between Edwin Wilding, vendor & F B Walter, purchaser app of vendor Edwin Wilding from ord of V C Bacon Jan 8
 In re George Bell, decd Carter v Sladden app of J Kado (having liberty to attend) from ord of Mr Justice Kay on fur con Jan 12
 Ayling v Mercer app of debt J G S Meller from judge of V C Bacon Jan 14
 In re Thos B George, decd Cave v George app of debt from judge of Mr Justice Kay Jan 15
 Cooper v Foster, Porter & Co app of debt from judge of V C Bacon Jan 15
 Ingold v Powell app of debt from judge of Mr Justice Kay Jan 16
 In re H Games, decd Sherman v Kendall app of debts from ord of Mr Justice Kay Jan 17
 Holloway v Rolleston app of debts from ord of Mr Justice Kay varying C C certificate Jan 24
 Foster, Porter & Co v Cooper app of debts from part of judge of V C Bacon Jan 29
 In re the Norwich Equitable Fire Insur Co & Co's Acts app of W A Miller from ord of V C Bacon Jan 29
 In re the Clitheroe Estate settled by the will of the Duke of Buccleugh and Queensberry & S L Act, 1882-4 app of Hon J A D Horne & ors from order of V C Bacon on petn Jan 29
 In re Joseph Mitchell, decd Mitchell v Mitchell app of debt from order of Mr Justice Chitty making trustee liable for loss Jan 30
 In re W Brydges, decd In re Ann Brydges, dec, & In re F S C Whelan decd Whelan v Burne app of debt from judge of Mr Justice Kay Jan 30
 Parish v Poole Harper v Parish app of debts W F Poole & ors from part of judge of Mr Justice North Feb 3
 The Milford Ry & Estate Co Id v Mowatt, and In re the Conveyancing Act, 1881 (44 & 45 Vict c 41), and In re an alleged Mortgage between Lake & ors, and In re an Agreement between H Spain & ors app of debt F Mowatt from order of Mr Justice Pearson Feb 5
 In re W Taylor, decd Taylor v Ley app of W P Taylor and anr from order of Mr Justice Kay Feb 7
 In re A F P B Williams, decd Andrew v Williams (claim of Williams & anr for permissive waste) app of debt C E Howell from order of Mr Justice Kay Feb 9
 In re Liardet's Marine Estates Preliminary Co and Co's Acts app of petitioner John Perkins from V C Bacon Feb 9
- (To be continued.)
-
- FROM THE QUEEN'S BENCH AND PROBATE, DIVORCE, AND ADMIRALTY (ADMIRALTY) DIVISION.
- For Judgment.
- The Official Liquidators of the Blackburn and District Benefit Building Soc v Cunliffe, Brookes, & Co app of debts from judgment of the Vice-Chancellor of the County Palatine of Lancaster (a v Feb 21—present Master of Rolls and Lords Justices Cotton and Lindley)
- The Gas Light & Coke Co v The Vestry of St Mary Abbotts, Kensington app of debts from judge of Mr Justice Field at trial (a v March 17—present the Lord Chief Justice, Sir James Hannan and Lord Justice Lindley)
- The Queen v Chas Hillman (Q B Crown Side) app of Whitfield and anr (Justices) from Mr Justice Grove and Baron Huddleston granting rule absolute for certiorari (a v March 30—present the Lord Chief Justice, Sir James Hannan and Lord Justice Lindley)
- For Hearing.
- 1884.
- Land & Finance Corporation Id v Rocheart Tomlinson v Land & Finance Corporation Id app of debt from Mr Justice Grove directing entry of judgment for

- Tomlinson after trial of interpleader issue (transferred from Interlocutory List and advanced for hearing by order) Carlton v Bowcock & anr app of defts from judge of Mr Justice Cave at trial without jury at Manchester July 30 (part heard March 5—present Master of Rolls and Lords Justices Lindley and Bowen) Tate & Sons v Hyslop app of pit from Justices Day and A L Smith setting aside verdict and judgment and giving judgment for deft—action tried in London by Mr Justice Manisty Apr 5 Eshelby v Cooke & Sons app of pit from judge of Lord Justice Baggallay at Liverpool April 29 Stanfield v The Yeoman Local Board app of pit from judge of Baron Huddleston at trial in Middlesex Oct 23 Sheffield v Heath app of pit from judge of the Lord Chief Justice at trial in Middlesex Oct 29 Hinde v Osborne, Garrett & Co app of defts from judge of Baron Huddleston at trial at Birmingham Nov 6 Jepp v Powell app of pit from judge of Mr Justice Mathew at trial in Middlesex without a jury Nov 8 Stevens v Hughes app of deft from judge of Mr Justice Grove at trial at Swansea Nov 8 J B Grébert-Borgnis v J M and W Nugent app of defts from judgment of Mr Justice Denman at trial in Middlesex without a jury Nov 11 Jones v Ashwin app of deft from judge of Mr Justice Cave at trial in Middlesex Nov 12 Chancery action transferred to Q B Division Ross v Ashwin app of deft from judge of Mr Justice Mathew at trial in Middlesex without a jury Nov 12 Webb v The Streatham Hill and General Estates Development Co, lnd app of deft from judge of Mr Justice Mathew at trial Nov 17 Wright v Etoral app of deft from judge of Mr Justice Stephen at trial in Middlesex Nov 20 (S O till April 20 by order) Schwartz v Lafone (sued as Proprietor of Butler's Wharf) app of pit from judge of Mr Justice Denman at trial in Middlesex without a jury Nov 20 Hugall, Widow v McLean (receiver in Chancery action for administration of Estate of George Gates, dec) app of pit from judge of Mr Justice Wills at trial in Middlesex with common jury Nov 21 Hall v Anger app of pit from judge of Mr Justice A L Smith at trial in Middlesex without a jury Nov 25 Lloyd, Barnetts and Bosanquet's Banking Co v Wiggin app of pit from judge of Mr Justice A L Smith at trial in Middlesex without a jury Nov 26 Hermann v Jeuchner app of deft from judge of Mr Justice Stephen at trial in Middlesex without a jury Nov 26 Kekewich v Brown app of deft from judge of Mr Justice Mathew at trial in Middlesex without a jury Nov 26 Bissell v Fox & Co app of defts from judge of Mr Justice Denman at trial in Middlesex without a jury Dec 2 Yeo v Dawe app of pit from Mr Justice Grove and Baron Huddleston setting aside verdict and giving judgment for deft—action tried by the Lord Chief Justice at Exeter Dec 3 The Great Western Ry Co and The Rhymney Ry Co v The Dowlais Iron Co app of pit from judge of Justices Day and A L Smith on special case Dec 5 Pittman v Hine app of deft from judge of Mr Justice Mathew at trial in Middlesex Dec 5 Groves, McLean & Co v Volkart Bros app of pit from judge of Mr Justice Lopes at trial Dec 9 Crossland v Catchpole app of deft from judge of Baron Pollock at trial at York Dec 11 Elwell v Jackson app of pit from judge of Mr Justice Denman at trial Dec 15 Adams v Coleridge app of pit from judge of Mr Justice Manisty at trial in Middlesex Dec 16 Hermann v The Royal Exchange Assocs Corp app of deft from judge of Baron Huddleston at trial in Middlesex Dec 18 Craven v Ingham app of pit from judge of Baron Pollock at trial at York Dec 18 Ship Fridgeburg Joseph Wiggins v Hamilton and ors app of defts from judge of Mr Justice Butt as to costs (without Assessors) Dec 18 The Queen v The Registrar of the Greenwich County Court and R B Scarborough (Q B Crown Side) app of pit from judge of Justices Grove and Hawkins directing Registrar to allow Solicitor to question debtor Dec 19 Brock and ors v Warmisham app of pit in person from judge of Mr Justice Manisty at trial Dec 20 Farlow v Pintach's Patent Lighting Co Ltd app of pit from judge of Mr Justice Wills at trial Dec 20 County Court Appeal Tipper v The London & St Katharine Docks Co (Q B Crown Side) app of pit from Justices Grove, Mathew and Day directing entry of judgment for defts Dec 22 In re an Arbitration between Alice Wadham and ors, Cisimants, and the North Eastern Ry Co app of The North Eastern Ry Co from Justices Mathew and Day giving judgment for Claimants upon special case for £1,650 (in lieu of £650 amount of award) Dec 22 Lambton & Co v Nielsen & Co app of defts from Justices Mathew and Day directing entry of judgment for pits on special case stated by Arbitrator Dec 31 12 & 13 Vict. c. 46, s. 11 The Guardians of the Poor of Holborn Union v The Guardians of the Poor of Chertsey Union (Q.B. Crown Side) app of The Chertsey Guardians from Justices Hawkins and A. L. Smith reversing order of Justices touching settlement of two pauper children Dec 31 Harris & Dixon v Jacobs, Marcus, & Co app of defts from judge of Mr Justice Mathew at trial in Middlesex without a jury Dec 31
- 1885.
- The Queen on the Prosecution of William Fredk. Fearn v The Charnwood Forest Ry Co (Q.B. Crown Side) app of pits from judge of Mr Justice Denman on mandamus Jan 1 The Barrow-in-Furness Mutual Ship Insurance Co v Ashburner app of deft from judge of Justices Mathew and Day on special case Jan 1 Dixon & Co v The Royal Exchange Shipping Co app of pits from judge of Mr Justice Cave at trial Jan 1 The Queen v Thomas D B Rawlins (Q.B. Crown Side) app of prosecutor from Justices Mathew and Day refusing order for quo warranto Jan 2 The Queen v Herbert W Dibben (Q.B. Crown side) app of prosecutor from Justices Mathew and Day refusing order for quo warranto Jan 2 Liverpool District Registry—Banner v The Widnes Alkali Co, lnd app of pit from judge of Mr Justice A L Smith at trial at Liverpool November Assizes without a jury Jan 9 Newall & Clayton & ors v The Royal Exchange Shipping Co, lnd app of pit from judge of Mr Justice Cave after trial Jan 9 Joseph v Pidcock app of deft from judge of Baron Huddleston at trial at Gloucester Jan 16 Robinson and anr v Edwards app of pits from judge of Mr Justice Field at trial Jan 16 The Mayor, &c, of Birkenhead v The N & N W Ry Co and anr Co app of pits from judge of Justices Mathew and Day on special case Jan 16 Foakes v Carter app of deft from judge of Mr Justice Mathew at trial in Middlesex Jan 23 Knight and ors v Clarke and ors app of deft W Clarke from judge of Mr Justice Mathew at trial Jan 29 Mathew v Mathew app of deft from judge of Mr Justice Mathew at trial in Middlesex without a jury Feb 4 Dawson v Fox (validity of bill of sale) app of deft from judge of Mr Justice Wills at trial Feb 5 Levy & Co v Merchants' Marine Ins Co, lnd app of deft from judge of Mr Justice Mathew at trial in Middlesex without a jury Feb 6 Joseph v Webb and anr app of deft from judge of Baron Huddleston on fur con after trial at Gloucester Assizes Feb 6 Joseph v Jones app of pit from judge of Baron Huddleston on fur con after trial at Gloucester Assizes Feb 6 Whitmarsh v Monroe app of pit from judge of Mr Justice Denman at trial with a jury Feb 6 Baron Von Bissing v Lazarus app of pit from judge of Mr Justice Grove at trial Feb 10 Harris v Yeovart app of pit from judge without cost of Mr Justice Day at trial with special jury at Carlisle Feb 12 Newlands v National Employers' Accident Assoc lnd (in liquidation) app of pit from judge upon claim and counter-claim of Mr Justice Lopes at trial at Durham Feb 16 Selous and anr v Wimbledon Local Board app of pits from judge of Mr Justice Denman at trial Feb 17 Hogg v Brooks app of pit from judge of Mr Justice Mathew at trial Feb 20 Mason and anr v Ashton Gas Co app of pit from judge of Mr Justice Mathew at trial in Middlesex without a jury Feb 20 Lunt (Trustee of Haworth, a bankrupt) v Wilson app of pit from judge of Mr Justice Day at trial at Manchester without a jury Feb 21 Savage and anr v Great Eastern Ry Co app of pits from judge of Mr Justice Mathew at trial at Chelmsford without a jury Feb 21 Addy and anr v English and anr app of pits from judge of Mr Justice Grove at trial Feb 24 Wakefield v Edwards (ejectment) app of pit from judge of Mr Justice Mathew at trial in Middlesex without a jury Feb 26 Davies v Campbell and ors app of pits from judge of the Lord Chief Justice and Mr Justice Manisty Feb 26 Central Bank of London lnd v Barneveld and anr (liability on bond given in interpleader issue) app of pits from judge of Mr Justice Stephen at trial in Middlesex without a jury Feb 26 Evans v Clements (trespass and injunction) app of pit from Mr Justice Hawkins after trial at Oxford without a jury Feb 26 Perry and anr (carrying on business as G S Bryant & Co) v Barnet app of pit from Mr Justice Grove at trial without a jury Feb 27 Serrao v Noel app of pit from Mr Justice Grove at trial Feb 27 Hennessy & Son v Mayor, &c of Bristol app of pits from judge of Mr Justice Manisty at trial at Bristol Feb 28 Lambert v Ogden (money lent and paid for deft) app of pit from judge of Mr Justice Cave at Leeds without a jury Feb 28 Dawson v Moody (Stakeholder's Interpleader Issue) app of deft from judge of Mr Justice Day at Manchester without a jury Feb 28 Philpott v Hanbury app of pits from judge of Mr Justice Grove at trial Mar 3 Bird v Shilcock (breach of contract—damages) app of deft from Mr Justice Stephen at trial in Middlesex without a jury Mar 4 Bridger v Savage app of deft from judge of the Lord Chief Justice at trial Mar 4 Hallbrook v Sykes app of deft from judge of Mr Justice Cave at trial at Leeds without a jury Mar 4 Pointier v Poirrier app of pit from Justices Grove & Manisty directing entry of judgment for deft with costs Mar 4 Sailing Ship "Garston" Co v Hickie, Borman & Co app of pit from judge of Mr Justice Wills at trial Mar 4 Assessment Committee of Altringham Union v Cheshire Lines Committee app of pits from the Lord Chief Justice & Mr Justice A L Smith quashing order of Sessions for poor rate Mar 4 The Queen v Rev Brian Christopherson (Q B Crown Side) app of prosecutors, the assessment committee of Falmouth Union, from the Lord Chief Justice & Mr Justice A L Smith quashing order of sessions Mar 5 Roberts, widow v Williams, sheriff of Anglesey app of pit from judge of Mr Justice Stephen at trial at Montgomery Assizes Mar 6 Miles & ors, trustees of Independent Mutual Brethren Friendly Soc v Scouting app of pit from judge of Mr Justice Stephen at trial in Middlesex without a jury Mar 6 Martin v Tritton & anr app of pit from judge of Mr Justice Lopes at trial Mar 7 Vaughan & ors v Campbell, Healy & Co app of defts from judge of Mr Justice Day at trial Mar 11 Vulliamy v Bowron app of deft from judge of Mr Justice Mathew at trial without a jury Mar 11 Joseph Bull, Sons & Co id v Wood & Co app of defts from judge of Mr Justice Stephen at trial Mar 11 Allan v Regent's Canal City & Docks Ry Co & anr app of pit from Mr Justice Mathew at trial in Middx March 13 West Middlesex Waterworks Co v Jacob Coleman J Coleman v West Middlesex Waterworks Co (Magistrate's case—Q B Crown Side) app of J Coleman from the Lord Chief Justice and Mr Justice A L Smith March 16 Nielsen & Son v Wait, James & Co app of pits from judge of Baron Pollock at trial March 18 W Stewart & Co v Merchant's Marine Ins Co app of pits from judge of Mr Justice Stephen at trial in Middx March 19 Horne v Dunlop, Bell & Co app of pits from Justices Grove and Manisty directing entry of judgment for pit March 21

(To be continued.)

HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE.

CHANCERY DIVISION.

EASTER Sittings, 1885.

Causes for Trial or Hearing.

(Set down to Wednesday, April 8th, inclusive.)

Motions, Petitions, and Short Causes will be taken on the usual days, as stated in the Easter Sittings Paper.

Causes with and without Witnesses will be taken by Vice-Chancellor Bacon on the usual Cause days in the order as they stand in the Cause Book.

Mr. Justice Kay will take Witness Causes on the ordinary Cause days until further order; his Lordship will sit in Chambers on every Friday during the Sittings, except on Wednesday instead of Friday in the last week.

Mr. Justice Chitty will take Witness Causes on the following days, viz.:—April 28, 29, and 30; May 5, 6, and 7; and May 12, 13, and 14.

Mr. Justice Pearson will take Witness Causes on days to be named by his Lordship at the commencement of the Sittings.

Mr. Justice North will take Witnesses Causes every day, in the order as they stand in the Cause Book.

Adjudged Summonses will be taken as follows:—Vice-Chancellor Bacon, on Fridays and Saturdays; Mr. Justice Kay, on Thursdays and Saturdays; Mr. Justice Chitty, with Non-Witness Actions.

N.B.—Mr. Justice Pearson will take Adjudged Summonses as follows:—Class I, with Motions, on Fridays; Classes II. and III., in the Non-Witness List; Class IV., on Fridays and Saturdays. For description of each Class see notice issued by his Lordship's Chief Clerk, dated May 1.

By Order of 2nd April the remainder of the Causes transferred by Order of 9th March to Mr. Justice Field were re-transferred to Mr. Justice Chitty, and will be found restored to his Lordship's List of Witness Actions. The re-transfer to Mr. Justice Chitty (with the exception of a part heard case) includes all the Actions transferred by the first Order, so that any necessary proceedings in Chambers may be taken in Mr. Justice Chitty's Chambers.

By Order of 24th March the following 65 Chancery Causes were transferred to the Queen's Bench Division, viz.:—15 from Vice-Chancellor Bacon, 8 from Mr. Justice Kay, 30 from Mr. Justice Chitty, and 12 from Mr. Justice North. These Causes will be found included in the Queen's Bench Non-Jury List, and inquiry should be made of the Associates' Department as to time of Trial.

Before Vice-Chancellor BACON.

Causes for Trial (with witnesses and without witnesses).

Blackburn v Swire point of law

Winter v Ind Coope & Co act wits (not before Mar 15)

In re Meyrick Hartman, Gilbert v Lowndes act (Trinity)

In re Watkins Watkins v Williams act wits

In re Watkins Watkins v Williams act wits

Smith v Count Gustave Baththyany act wits

In re McWilliam, McWilliam v Wilson act wits

Enthoven v Mozley act wits

In re Bourne, Bourne v May sp c

Mills v Pennington act

Haslam v Watson act

North v Bathurst act

Knowles v Bradford Old Bank Id act wits

Transferred from Mr Justice Pearson pursuant to order dated 28 Aug 1884

Pike v Webster Harrison v Pike act wits

Day v Day act wits

Stapleton v Wilson act wits

In re Firmín Firmín v Firmín act wits

Hon A Egerton MP v Earl of Ellesmere act & m f j

Power v Parker act wits

In re R Rymill In re J W Rymill L K Herbert v Rymill act wits

In re Plant Clark v Tabraham act

Wigfield v Wells act wits

M E Smith v H T Smith act wits (Ap 16)

Brown, Janson & Co v Alston act & m f j

Darcy v Chatterton act

Bouglorriani v La Societe Generale act wits

National Provincial Bank of England Id v Jackson act wits

Williamson v N Staffordshire Ry Co act wits

Lord Camoys v Mayor &c of Burslem act wits

Land Sec Co Id v Salaman act & m f j

wits

Western v Day act wits

In re Wall, dec Jackson v Bristol &

West of England Bank Id Bristol & Co bank v Jackson act & m f j

Maud v Lovell act wits

Tarrant v Taylor act wits

Wyndham v Thomas act wits

Zeffert v Booty act wits

In re Davis, Davis v Boydell act & m f j

wits

Robinson v Aldridge m f j

Church v Stockham act

Walker v Poole act wits

White v Goldring act wits

Bailey v Badham act & m f j

Gray v Harvey act wits

In re Edwards, Yates v Black act wits

De Caux v Skipper, Tee v De Caux act & m f j

Macalister v Blake act

Morgan v Williams act

Pawley v Bigland act wits

Flewitt v Walker act wits

Newbury v Kipping act wits

In re Chirm, Rogers v Chirm act & m f j

Fullwood v Fullwood act (not before May 10)

In re Hobson, Hobson v Hobson act

Ashwin v Ashwin act

Allbutt v Marconese act

Baines v Pridaux act wits

Whittaker v Strutt act wits

In re Ready, Ready v Ready act

Trevelyan v Trevelyan, Bart act wits

Wake v Boileau & ors act wits

End of Transfer.

Brandon v Owen act wits

Genese v Bennett act wits

Snow v Burdett act

In re Armstrong Pearson v Armstrong act wits

Onward Building Society v Pearson act

In re Colbourn Jones v Edwards act wits

Steers v Rogers act

Springall v Knowles act wit

Green v Silverthorne m f j

Mussell v Dale act

Smith v Smith act

Maffuniades v Micropulos act

Nicol v Nicol act wits

Miles v New Zealand & Estate Co act & m f j

wits

Crisford v Manfield act wits

Webb v Hamilton m f j

Curteis v Kenny act shrt

Taylor v Weedon act wits

O'Brien v Mutton act

Saunders v Wake act

Ashworth v Murray act wits

Close v Barnes, Hoares &c act wits

Close v London Chartered Bank of Australia act wits

Calvert v Baxter act

In re Blackburn Blackburn v Shaw act

Baron Kinnaird v Denney act wits

Parsons v Selway Salway v Parsons act & m f j

In re Cundy, Cundy v Cundy m f j

Bothwick v Simm m f j

Kilpatrick v Littlejohn act

Crozier v Dowsell m f j

Shepherd v Mayor, &c, of Norwich act wits

In re Bradbury, Grant v Shaw act

In re Palin, Palin v Brooks further hearing of act

Webster v Spencer act wits

In re Martin, Ewing v Martin act

Forder v Forder act wits

Jackson v Needis act wits

Bedingfield v Phillips act wits

In re Johnson, Roocroft v Johnson m f j

Green v Bremer act wits

Faith v Harper act wits

Crabtree v Frith act

Boddington v Reynolds act wits

Willmott v Freethouse, &c, Co act wits

Saunders v Kent act wits

Eden, Bart, v Weardale Iron Co, lmd act

Blackburn v Swire act wits

Fryer v Marsden act wits

Grant & Co v Unger

In re Bates, Allen v Vicoars act wits

Nitidals & Co v Elmore act wits

Carslure v N E Ry Co act wits

Fleming v Fleming m f j

In re Noyce, Brown v Rigg m f j

Tonge v Cook act wits

Howarth v Lewis act wits

Reed v Irish act

Lewis v Bence act

Bourne v Westr Palace Hotel Co act wits

Black v Brown act

Horner v Gt E Ry Co act wits

Clarendon Building Soc v Fowler act

Rust v Davison act wits

Barker v Purvis act & m f j

Philips v Richards act wits

In re Wain, Wain v Lovett act wits

In re Gulliford, Gulliford v Gulliford m f j

Tarelli v Rountree act & m f j

In re Wilks, In re Grosjean, Grosjean v Lawes act wits

The Benfleet Brick and Tile Co v Jones & Co act wits

In re Roberts, Evans v Roberts act

Croft v Chandler act

Sawyer v Sage act wits

Further Considerations.

In re Williams Williams v Stratton fur con

In re Openshaw, Daakworth v Openshaw fur con

In re Gwynne, Toogood v Williams fur con

In re Lee, Lee v Lee fur con & 3 sumns

In re Browns, Daniel v Browns fur con

Black v Grove fur con

Meyers v Oldaker fur con

In re Jones, Jenkins v Lloyd fur con shrt

Adjourned Summonses.

In re Nichole, Light v Diment

In re Bradbury, Carter v Bradbury

Bromley v Hammond

In re Briggs, Lloyd v Briggs

In re Adahs, Cox v Perera

Lewis v James

In re Robinson, Glynn v Gibson

In re Danish Freethouse Land Reclamation Co Id

In re Absey & Whitworth & V & P Act, 1874

In re Courtier, Coles v Courtier

In re Higgins, Day v Turnell

In re Natal Coast Lands, Wirsing v Elphinstone (not before April 21)

In re Hayward, Hyett v Ivens

In re Knight, Yeates v Bone

McClure v McClare

In re W H Gossip's Settlement and Lands Improvement Act, 1848

Baker v White act

Craven v Ingham, Allen v Taylor

Vernon, Ewens & Co v Daniel

In re Drake, Bacon v Bray

Liddell v Newall

In re The Norwich Equitable Fire, & Co (Gurney's case)

In re Bernard, Bernard v Walker

In re Tweedale, Tweedale v Horse

In re Hine, Hine v Hine

Heald v Walls

Attorney Gen v Braithwaite to proceed

Same v Sama to confirm contract

In re Travis, O'Sullivan v Young

In re Conolly, Conolly v Mawdsley

In re Borthwick, infants

In re Hall, Lawrence v Pattock

In re T E Edwards and Trade Mark &c, Act

In re Eddowes, Patch v Eddowes

Waite v Morland (appn of infant deft)

Same v Same (appn of guardian)

In re Griffith, Morgan v Jones

Lewis v James

In re Macdonald, Dowling v Stewart

In re Hibell, Justice v Hibell

De Mora v Comcha

Rushbrooke v Farley

In re Travis, O'Sullivan v Young

In re Jones, Jones v Sears

In re Moore, Moore v Moore

In re Venn, Venn v Armitage

In re Chilcott, Chilcott v Chilcott

In re Dixon, Dixon v Smith

In re Brydon, Service v Lacey

In re Thompson, Tweed v Wilmot

In re Garne, Garne v Appin

In re Westwood & Ballie's V & P Act

In re Vron Colliery Co and Co's Acta

Mayers v Oldacre

In re Morris, Salter v Att-Gen

In re Knight, Pocock v Knight

In re Morgan, Taunton v Morgan

In re J B Rogers' Electric Light Co & Co's Acta

In re Blacker and Trustees, &c, Act

Before Mr. Justice FIELD.

(Sitting as an additional Judge of the Chancery Division.)

Horden v Faulkner act for trial with wts, pt hd

Before Mr. Justice KAY.

Causes for Trial (with witnesses.)

Bacon v Camphausen act

In re Truford, Traford v Blane act & m f j

In re Stainsby Stainsby v Stainsby act

Harper v Bingley act

Young v Mynyddgwyng & Co act pt hd

In re Hadden's Patent, 1883, No 3,096

Jones v Coal Gas Coal Co, Id act & sams

Turnock v Sartoris act

In re Smith, Smith v Went act

Hurle v Marshall act

King v Woodcock act

Goodman v London & County Bkg Co act

Maydew v Newton act

Mathews v Lake act

Phillips v Gallooyne act

Betro v Lottinga

In re Wilson, Wilson v Corbett act

Charrington & Co v Dobson

Stevenson v Jenkinson act

Le Maistre v Mulken act

White v Bannet act

Johnson v Tilay act

Bidder v Bridges act

Municipal Permit Investmt & Co Soc v Richards act

Long v Nat Prov Bank of England Id act

Barks v Robinson act

Wood v Shaw act

Gerrard v Nat Prov Bank of England 11 act

Baker v White act

Craven v Ingham, & m f j

Luca v Miller act

Bantley v Virtue act

Hill v Charsley act

Paddock v Edwards act

White v Middlehurst act

In re March, McAleena v Coming act

Hallett v Farne act

Webster v Parker act

Sheppard v Dafid's act

Hargrove v Seekamp act & m f j

Webster v Simonds act

In re Youngs, Doggett v Revett act

Ward v Lyda act

Snowdon v May act

Davenport v Charsley act

Lee v Brannan act

Slack v Parker act

In re Meynell, Holmes v Meynell issue of fact

City of London & Co v Warren act & m f j

April 18, 1885.

Elliott v Bengal Central Ry Co act
 Ager v Collingridge act
 Kennard v Kalsey act
 Dandeson v Weston act
 Glover v Brown issue of fact
 King v Rent Guarantee Soc Id act
 Hoare v Bowyer act & m f j
 Baldock v Serjeant act
 In re M Brown, Brown v Fairbrother,
 adj sumns
 In re Same, Same v Same adj sumns
 In re The London Fish Market & Co
 adj sumns
 Whitehall & Soc v Harrison act
 Curtis v Headley act
 Cooke, Biddulph & Co v Carter act &
 m f j
 Gee v Longcroft act
 Auton v Faulkner act
 Edsall v Payne act (restored—head of
 List, by order)
 In re Hunter, Hunter v Sands act
 London & Western Loan & Co v Part-
 ridge act & m f j
 Beashell v Pocock act
 Whitworth v Peake act
 Sheepbridge Coal, &c Co v Plevins
 act
 Reid v Hadley act
 Patley v Wools act
 Templeman v Mason act

Causes for Trial without Witnesses.
 Earl of Lichfield v Countess of Shrews-
 bury point of law
 Price v Wagstaff, Minett v Price act
 In re Jackson, Wilksley v Jackson act
 Armstrong v Rutherford act
 In re Redgrave, Bryant v Regrave
 m f j sht
 Blount v Fay act & m f j
 Goodliff v Goodliff special case
 Miller v Miller special case
 Patten v Stretch m f j
 In re Hillas, Hethercroft v Hillas act
 & m f j
 In re Wroth, Wroth v Wroth m f j
 Valey v Catts act
 In re Burdon, Chandless v Chandless
 special case
 Hall v Truman, Hanbury & Co act
 Leseay v Leseay m f j
 Evesleigh v Bussell special case
 Huggins v Fox act
 Lefevre v Lefevre act

Further Considerations.
 In re Chimes, Smith v Shenton fur
 con & sumns
 In re Hatley, Deards v Putts fur con
 In re Waygood, Dalgairens v Green
 fur con
 In re Davenport, Davenport v Smith
 fur con
 In re Hudson, Hudson v Hudson fur con
 In re Leacroft, Leacroft v Harwood
 fur con & sumns
 In re Beaumont, Midwinter v Beaumont
 fur con
 In re Cornells, Lawton v Elkes fur con
 In re Clark, Clark v Foster fur con
 In re Farley, Gandy v Farley fur con
 Barnfather v Barnfather fur con
 In re Marsden, Gibbs v Layland fur con

Adjourned Summons.
 In re Dimmock, Dimmock v Dimmock
 In re Middleton, Daley v Middleton
 In re Heritage, a Solicitor, &c
 In re Nisbet, Hamilton, Thuxlow v
 Nisbet Hamilton
 In re Blake, Jones v Blake (2)
 Carruthers v Carruthers
 In re Carruthers, Carruthers v Toye
 In re British Envelope Manufacturing Co
 M & Co's Acts
 Carter v Sari
 In re Jordan, New v Bolton
 In re Cragg, Cragg v Graweck
 In re Blundell, Blundell v Blundell
 In re Victor Gas Engines Co & Co's
 acts
 In re Keith's Settlement
 In re Gunn's Estate, Dinnier v Puller
 In re March's Estate, McAlenan v
 Conning
 In re Taylor, Walsh v Clifford
 In re Willoughby, an infant
 Batten v Cornish
 Clarke v Foxley
 In re Barry F, Esq Co & Co's Acts
 In re Bolton Estates & S L Act

Donisthorp v Donisthorp
 In re Chesterfield & North Derbyshire
 Banking Co
 In re Finlaysen & Co and Law of Prop-
 erty, &c Act
 In re The City Constitutional Club &
 Co's Acts

Before Mr. Justice CHITTY.
 Causes for Trial (with witnesses).
 Craig v Trimin act
 Beauchamp v Campbell act
 In re Finlay dead Finlay v Clarke act
 In re W Spenser's appn and T M Act
 adj sumns wits
 Dawson v Phoenix Electric Light &
 Co act

Smith v L & N W Ry Co act
 In re Oxenham, dead Hanson v Puttock
 act (Cross-exam on affts.)

Wade v Lynn act
 Taylor v Guardians of the Poor of
 Barnet Union act

In re Cooke, dead Newland v Cooke
 act
 Sanderson v Laird act
 Brough v Beavis m f j

In re Burnley dec, Tibbotts v Taylor
 act
 Lister v Norton Bros & Co Id act
 (S O Feb 28)

Lewis v Lewis act
 Taylor v The Friern Barnet Local Bd
 act

Vallance v Nouvou Mondes Co act and
 counter claim

In re Stanger d-c, Stumm v Evans
 adj sum with wits by order

Causes re-transferred from Mr Justice
 Field, by Order dated 24th March,
 1885.

Pilley v Land Investment Co act
 Bennett v Ashwin act

Montanini & Co v Bartlett m f j
 Russell v Hygienic and Sanitary Engi-
 neering Co Id act

Liardet v Hammond Electric Light Co
 act

Tuxhill v Shield act

Young v Barclay act

In re Whitlock dec, Whitlock v Whit-
 lock act

Hughes v Nutt act

Darlow v Scrutton act (S O Trinity)

London Mortgage & Guarantee Soc Id

v Locke act

Newsonne v Brownhill act

Whetham v Davey act

Lomas v Accrington Gas &c Co act

Craig v Chubb, Chubb v Craig act

and counterclaim

In re Linger dec, Linger v Linger act

Bedwell v Trower act

End of Re-transfer.

Transferred from Mr. Justice Pearson, pursuant to order, dated 29th Dec., 1884.

Reid v Reid act
Tyson v Filinson act
Waddell v Tane act
Barker v Irvine act
Warburton v Crawshaw act
Johnstone v Earl Spencer act
Dunn v Graddon act
Manners v Mow act & m f j
Walker & Sons v Carr & Sons act
Hodge v Gillbanks act
Reed v Digby act April 14
Waterhouse v Macgregor act
Chapman v Fielder act
In re Chapman Hunter v Chapman act
Durant v Phillips act
Dicketts v England act
Brown v Gouraud act
In re Owen Breeze v Owen act
Courage v Baker act
Colley v Baker act
Black v Companhia Portugueza Navigacio &c act
Stevens v Colas act
Potter v Potter act
Samson v Wilson act
Brown v Cottrell act
Soden v Ranson act
Whiteman v Day act
Otto v Steel act
In re Fitton, Fitton v Padwick act
Holmes's Marine Life, &c, Assurance, lmd v Holmes act
Buckland v Sandgrave act
Samuel v Hancon act
In re Bourne, Edwards v Travell act
Tonkin v Hughes act
Earl of Gainsborough v Watcombe Clay, &c, Co act
Dunning v Earl of Gainsborough act
Lawrence v Perry & Co, lmd act
Jude v Hanbury act
Williams v Nanty Glo, &c, Co act
The Edison, &c, Co v Woodhouse act
Fowke v Draycott act
Law v Roberts act
In re Johnson, Wagg v Shand act
Singleton v Preston, Preston v Simk ns act
Pyke v Mackrow act
Scott v Pape act
Newbould v Smith act
In re Risdon, Risdon v Risdon act
In re East, East v Barrow act
Power, Bros & Co v London Wharfing, &c Co fur con & motion to vary Official Referee's report

Before Mr. Justice PEARSON.
Causes for Trial (with witnesses).
Ecclesiastical Commrse v Pearson act (not before April 20)
Exchange &c. Warehouses lmd v Land Financiers lmd act pt hd
Lydney and Wigpool Iron Ore Co v Bird act
Ramskill v Edwards act
Wood v Lambert act and mota pt hd (April 21)
Croydon v Prudential Loan &c Co mota
Hounston v Marquis of Sligo
Mayor of Kidwelly v Morgan Richardson King v Mules act
In re Wilson, Wilson v Wilson act
Otto v Steel act re-transferred United Telephone Co v Bassano act
Same v Same act
Adams v Adams act
Crisp v Beeson act
Noad v Loir act
Turner v Wavish act
Kerr v Gandy act
In re Simon, Cox v Hicks act
Nokes v Rugg act
Balme v Rhodes act
Walker v Elmslie act
Tatey v Woodfin act
Johnson v Starkey act
Easton v London & Joint Stock Bk act
Hancock v Hancock act
Payne v Tanner act
Pooley v Wheatham act
Micuillo v Alt act
Tilbury v British Mutual Bkg Co act
Greenwood v Ramsden act
N E Ry Co & L & N W Ry Co v Irwell act
In re Glover, Glover v Glover act
Spencer v Ancoats Vale Co act

Tyler v Hearne act
Carr v Cumberland, &c Soc act
White v Warren act
In re Ealing, Blackham v Stone act
In re Goodall, Goodall v Goodall act
Otto v L Sterns & Co lmd act
Fielding v Oldham, &c Coal Co act
Harris v Bowling act
Paterson v Stokes act
Sibston Colliery Co v Worstwick Colliery Co act
Blackwood v Dean m f
Gardner v Jay act
Hays v Carter act
Sayers v Stone act
In re Milnes, Milnes v Sherwin act
Cherry v Grice act
Robertson v Millott act
Burton v Jones act
Wackill v Groom act
Gordon v Hawkes act
Blaizland v Lazard act
In re Leeman, Darley v Tennant act
Cayley v Sandycroft act
Appleton v Stevenson act
Fitch v Langley act
Dadelzan v Hyde act
Swansea &c Co v Swansea &c Co act
Swansea Bank lmd v Bath act
Crompton v Jarrett act
Jones v Lockyer act

Causes for Trial (without witnesses) and Adjourned Summons (Classes II. and III.).

Arnold v Allen act
Carnochan v Ireland act
Sneyd v Sneyd act
Wilson v Barnes act
In re Marseilles Extension Ry Co & adj sum (appn of J H Smallpage)
In re Same (appn of Messrs Brandon) adj sum
Sole v Lloyd's Banking Co lmd act
In re Cooper Cooper v Cooper adj sum
In re Cooper, Busby v Cooper
Jones v Hokin adj sum
In re Upperton, Jones v Cooke adj sum
In re Williams, Joseph v Donkins adj sum
In re Pickard, Turner v Nicholson adj sum
In re Denton, Bannerman v Toosey adj sum
In re Bancroft, Lees v Marsland adj sum
In re Wilkins, Wilkins v Humphreys adj sum
Beales v Brown act
Local Bd, Walthamstow v Jones act
Grant v Hurst act
In re Taylor, Cloak v Hammond adj sum
In re Johnson, Blake v Stiebel adj sum
In re Millett, Millett v Hawarth adj sum
In re Rogers, Rogers v Rogers adj sum
Borrow v Fenerhead adj sum
In re Young's Will adj sum
In re Miles, Miles v Miles adj sum
In re Wilson, Birkbeck v Whiteley adj sum
Johnson v Mellor adj sum
In re Rickman, Rickman v Mitchell adj sum
In re Ashmore, Ashmore v Paley
Hillyard v Appleyard act
Thomas v Doughty act
Berlandina v Baker adj sum
In re Jackson, Hooper v Lucas adj sum
In re Hawkes, Hawkes v Hawkes adj sum
Montagu v Earl of Sandwich m f j
In re Alder, Brewster v Gardiner m f j (short)
In re Andrews, Edwards v Dewar adj sum
In re Kershaw, Clarkson v Ward adj sum
In re Frere, Frere v Frere adj sum
In re Shakespeare, Doak v Lakin adj sum
In re Robie, Robie v Burslem adj sum
Smith v Smith m f j (short)
Perry v Cochran act
Spain v Mowatt act

In re Bellworthy, Bailey v Bailey adj sum
In re Cotton's Will &c adj sum
London Land Co lmd v Hains &c
Petrie v Oliver m f j
In re Haggitt, Phillips v Haggitt adj sum
In re Spencer, Thomas v Spencer adj sum
In re George, George v George adj sum
Evans v Newfoundland Ry Co m f j (short)
In re Cooks m f j (short)
In re Cooke, Cooke v Wrightson sp c Davis v Myatt m f j (short)
Skilton v Smith m f j
Delves v Newington adj sum
Further Considerations.
In re Dixon, Lathom v Dixon fur con
In re Williams, Dew v Dew fur con & sum
Hellitt v Clarke fur con pt hd
In re Jones, Nickets v Jones fur con & sum
In re Safe, Safe v Collette fur con
In re Symonds, Symonds v Symonds fur con & pet
Montagu v Earl of Sandwich mota by order
In re Fay, Carter v Fay 2nd fur con
In re Brown, Brown v Brown fur con & sum
In re Johnson, Ivens v Wardley fur con
In re Poller, Poller v Peggy fur con
In re Ovey, Broadbent v Barrow Harvey v Croydon Union Rural & Authority fur con
In re Boye, Boyes v Cook fur con
Parker v Reeve fur con

Adjourned Summons.
(Class IV.)
Boswell v Coaks (expte pts).
Same v Same (expte deft C J Bunyon)

Same v Same
In re British & Foreign Commissaries &c Co & Co's Acts Beach v Same Co
In re New North Staffordshire &c Co Williams v Nanty Glo &c Co lmd
In re Harrison Parry v Sooneer In re Lumley to Marshall & V & P Co 1874
Werner v Butwell
In re Mass-l Rhodes v Jenkins Colvin v Lindsey
Same v Same
Chemists &c Association v Varley In re Lowe, Smith v Greaves
In re Huime Spinning Co In re France, France v Clarke
In re Norris, Allen v Norris In re Home Investment Soc & Co's Acts Fleming v Hardcastle
De Crespiigny v De Crespiigny Mutual Life Assoc Soc v Langley
In re Gt Western & Portishead Ry Co & Co's Acts
In re Nelson, Son & Hastings
In re Hall, Hall v Hall
In re Horne & Hellard & V & P Act In re Fair Oak Colly Co & Co's Acts
In re Meredith, Meredith v Facey In re Gt Western & Portishead Ry Cos Lord Petre v Petre
In re Innes, Innes v Innes Witham v Gissham
Same v Same In re Hudson, Creed v Henderson
In re Nichols & Nixey In re Lyndon & Traile Marks, &c Co's Acts
Hardaker v Moorhouse Rymer v Ross
In re Hanson, Bird v Grant In re Chapman & Hobbs & V & P Act
1874 In re The Aberystwyth Ship Insoe, &c Co & Co's Acts
In re Taylor, Bruton v Brown Fleming v Hardcastle
In re Miles, Miles v Miles Cox v Bowring pt hd

SUMMARY.

1.—V.C. BACON—Actions with and without Witnesses..	135
Adjourned Summons..	59
Further Considerations..	8
			— 202
2.—Mr. Justice FIELD—(Sitting as an additional Judge of the Chancery Division)			
Hordern v Faulkner pt hd	—
3.—Mr. Justice KAY—Witness Actions	74
Non-Witness Actions	18
Adjourned Summons	26
Further Considerations	12
			— 130
4.—Mr. Justice CHITTY—Witness Actions	63
Non-Witness Actions including Summons	74
Further Considerations and Procedure Sums	13
			— 150
5.—Mr. Justice NORTH—Witness Actions	70
6.—Mr. Justice PEARSON—Witness Actions	64
Non-Witness Actions	57
Adjourned Summons (Class 4)	44
Further Considerations	15
			— 180
Total Causes and Matters for Hearing in the Chancery Division ..			733

QUEEN'S BENCH DIVISION.

EASTER Sittings, 1885.

New Trial Paper.

For Judgment.
Young and ors v Parish heard before the Lord Chief Justice of England and Justices Mathew and Smith

For Argument.
Set down 12th August 1884 Chester, Lloyd and anr v The Cambrian Ry Co M Higgins Justice Stephen
Set down 23rd August 1884 Glamorganshire, David v Howe Justice Grove
Set down 25th August 1884 Carnarvon, Watkins, Jones & Co v Rowlands M Marshall Justice Grove
Set down 24th October 1884 Swanson, Evans v Price Mr B Rowlands Justice Grove Motion for Costs to come on with this Motion
Set down 25th October 1884 Chelmsford, Page v Morgan Mr Murphy J R Bulwer, Esq, Q.C., Commissioner
Set down 26th October 1884 Durham, Norton v Royal Fire & Life Insco Co Mr McClymont Justice Manisty
Set down 4th November 1884 Middlesex, Melliss v Cox & King Mr Bigham Justice Hawkins
Set down 6th November 1884 Middlesex, Horne v Jones Mr B Rowlands
Justice Hawkins
Set down 13th Nov 1884 Middlesex, Goodege v Sims Mr Kisch Justice Hawkins
Set down 17th November 1884 County Court of King's Lynn, Norfolk, Crisp v Long Mr J W Cooper E P Price Esq Judge

- Set down 28th Nov 1884 Middlesex, Noah v Owen Mr Murphy Justice Denman Motion for judgment to be argued with this motion.
- Set down 28th November 1884 Middlesex, Inderwick v Leech Mr Bremner Justice Lopes
- Set down 2nd December 1884 Middlesex, Adams v Coleridge Justice Manisty
- Set down 4th December 1884 Middlesex, Weldon (married woman) v Winslow Plaintiff in person Justice Denman
- Set down 11th December 1884 Middlesex, Weldon (married woman) v Winslow Defendant in person Justice Denman
- Set down 17th December, 1884 Middlesex Chancery Division Alexander v Long Mr Murphy Justice Manisty
- Set down 19th December, 1884 Middlesex Craigie v Dobb Mr Anderson Justice Field
- Set down 22nd of December, 1884 Middlesex Breen v Stumore & Co Mr Finlay Justice Field
- Set down December 22, 1884 Middlesex Gandy v Gulcher Electric Light, &c, Co Mr French Justice Wills
- Set down 22nd December, 1884 Middlesex Grief v Ellis & anr (Laby, 3rd party) Mr C Dodd Justice Wills
- Set down 23rd December, 1884 Middlesex Bluck v Lovering Mr C Russell Justice Manisty
- Set down 5th January, 1885 Middlesex Cooke v Brogden & Co Mr McClymont Justice Stephen
- Set down 12th of January, 1885 Middlesex Lascelles & anr v Norris Mr Cranston Justice Wills
- Set down 22nd January, 1885 Middlesex Nathan v Lyon Mr Fillan Justice Lopes
- Set down 26th January, 1885 Middlesex Ward v Foster, Hight, & Co Mr Jeff Justice Field
- Set down 26th January, 1885 Inquiry before Under-Sheriff Burohill Maskelyne v Bishop Mr E Clarke
- Set down January 27, 1885 Middlesex Mills v Temple-West Mr B Rowlands Baron Huddleston
- Set down 28th January 1885 Croydon Harris v London Southern Tramways Co sued &c Mr Crispe Justice Manisty
- Set down 28th January 1885 Middlesex Hind v Russell & ors Plaintiff in person Justice Wills
- Set down 29th January 1885 Middlesex Russell v Street Mr Hollams Baron Huddleston
- Set down 4th February 1885 Newcastle, Forster v Watson & ors Mr Walton Justice Cave
- Set down 6th February 1885 Croydon, Sault & Wife v Marcussen Mr Crispe Justice Manisty
- Set down 12th February, 1885 Chester, Evans v Evans Mr Marshall Justice A L Smith
- Set down 14th February, 1885 Middlesex, Guttenberg v Bartolotti Mr L Wyld Justice Grove
- Set down 16th February 1885 Cardiff, Griffith & anr v Blake & anr Mr McIntyre Justice Stephen
- Set down 16th February 1885 Bristol, Heaton v Midland Ry Co Mr Collins Baron Pollock
- Set down 17th February 1885 Taunton, Lowe v Fox Mr Hopkins Baron Pollock
- Set down 19th February 1885 Middlesex, Arnall v Blain Mr Finlay Justice A L Smith
- Set down 20th February 1885 Middlesex, Hart v Wright Mr Raymond Baron Huddleston
- Set down 21st February 1885 Manchester, Appleby v Smethurst Mr Addison Justice Day
- Set down 21st February 1885 Middlesex, Baldry v Bates Mr Crump Baron Huddleston Motion for judgment to be argued with this motion.
- Set down 22nd February 1885 Middlesex, Culmer v North Met Tramways Co Mr Kemp Baron Pollock
- Set down 24th February 1885 Newcastle, Levinson & Co v Young Mr Walton Justice Cave
- Set down 25th February 1885 Newcastle, Lindsay, Gracie & Co v Barter & Co Mr Hollams Justice Cave
- Set down 26th February 1885 Norwich, Le Strange v Corpin of King's Lynn Mr Little L C J of England
- Set down 26th February 1885 Middlesex, Johnson v Swallow Mr Brown Justice A L Smith
- Set down 28th February 1885 Derby, Pools v Ginnott Mr Hextall Justice Denman
- Set down 2nd March 1885 Leeds, Smith & Co v Scott C Dodd Justices Lopes
- Set down 3rd March 1885 Liverpool, Ready v D'Almeida Mr Gully Justice Wills
- Set down 3rd March 1885 Carlisle, Armstrong v Milburn Mr Gully Justice Day
- Set down 2nd March 1885 Middlesex, Battersea v South London Trams Co Mr McIntyre Baron Pollock
- Set down 3rd March, 1885 Middlesex Vincent v Day Mr Mann Justice Wills
- Set down 4th March, 1885 Middlesex Soyer v Hatton Mr B Rowlands Baron Huddleston
- Set down March 5, 1885 Ipswich Gurney v Reynolds Mr Grantham L C J of England Motion for judgment to be argued with this motion
- Set down March 5, 1885 Derby Hollingworth v Dobson Mr Graham Justice Denman
- Set down March 5, 1885 Birmingham Edge v Boileau & ors Mr Graham Justice Mathew
- Set down 10th March, 1885 Middlesex Martin v Welch Mr Finlay Justice Lopes
- Set down March 10, 1885 Nottingham Smith v Wallis Mr Harris Justice Denman Motion for judgment to be argued with this motion
- Set down March 10, 1885 Nottingham Smith v Newton Mr Harris Justice Denman Motion for judgment to be argued with this motion
- Set down 10th March 1885 Derby Gregory v Wright & anr Justice Denman
- Set down 10th March, 1885 Middlesex Hicks v Bedford, Clerk & ors Justice Wills
- Set down 11th March, 1885 Middlesex Bambridge v Randall Mr McIntyre Justice Hawkins
- Set down 12th March, 1885 Birmingham Fisher v Smith Justice Mathew
- Set down 12th March, 1885 Middlesex Church v Amer & anr Mr Murphy Justice Lopes
- Set down 13th March 1885 Middlesex, Richards v Co of Proprietors of the W Middx Waterworks & H W Newton Mr Castle Baron Huddleston
- Set down 14th March 1885 Middlesex, Gibson v Bassano Mr Willis Justice Stephen
- Set down 16th March 1885 Middlesex, White v Walker Donald & Co Mr Waddy Baron Huddleston
- Set down 17th March 1885 Middlesex, London Tilbury and Southend Ry Co Kirk & anr Mr F Jones Justice Hawkins
- Set down 17th March 1885 Middlesex, How v Piffard Mr Finlay Justice Hawkins
- Set down 20th March 1885 Nottingham, Procter v Webster Mr E Smith Justice Denman
- Set down 23rd March 1885 Middlesex, Neck & anr v Andrews Mr Kemp Baron Huddleston
- Set down 26th March 1885 Middlesex, Grant v Yates Mr Willis Justice Hawkins
- Set down 26th March 1885 Middlesex, De Bhabba v Munro Mr Philbrick Baron Pollock
- Set down 27th March 1885 Middlesex, Lott v Sugden Mr Grantham Justice Day
- Set down 2nd April 1885 Middlesex, Shickle & ors v Lawrence & anr Mr B Rowlands for dft C E Lawrence Justice Stephen
- Set down 2nd April 1885 Middlesex, Compagnie Financiere et Commerciale du Pacifique v Peruvian Guano Co Id Mr C Russell Baron Huddleston
- Set down 2nd April 1885 Middlesex, Hough & Co v Bolton Mr Kemp Baron Pollock
- Set down 2nd April 1885 Middlesex, Shickle & ors v Lawrence and anr Sir H Giffard for dft C N Hoare Justice Stephen
- SPECIAL PAPER.**
- For Judgment.
- Moorhouse v Linney and anr Thorpe v Linney and anr heard before Justin Grove and Lopes
- For Argument.
- Set down 17th November 1884 Due 25th November 1884 Munton & M Lewis v London & South Western Ry Co sp c before two judges
- Set down 14th February 1885 Due 20th February 1885 J A Wild Verity of St Luke's v Regent's Canal City and Dock Co sp c before two judges
- Set down 16th February 1885 Due 24th February 1885 Dolliman & P Rooney v Dolliman and ors sp c before two judges
- Set down 21st February 1885 Due 27th February 1885 Gregory & Co Carroll v Lancashire and Yorkshire Accident Insco Co points of law
- Set down 10th March 1885 Due 17th March 1885 G W Clements The China Trans-Pacific Steamship Co Id v The Marine Insco Co Id sp c before two judges
- Set down 12th March 1885 Due 20th March 1885 A P Jackson Richardson & Harrison and anr points of law
- Set down 24th March 1885 Due 21st April 1885 Municipal Corporation Act 1882 Taylor H & Co Futchett v Saunders sp c before two judges
- Set down 26th March 1885 Due 21st April 1885 Davidson & M Gulcher Electric Light and Power Co v Norton points of law
- Opposed Motions.**
- For Argument.
- Evans v Price motion for costs to be argued with motion for New Trial No 4
- Nash v Owen motion for judgt to be argued with motion for New Trial No 11
- Adsm, Sons & Co v Townsend & Co stands over till decision of appeal in bky Gurneys, Alexanders & Co v Reynolds & anr motion for judgt to be argued with motion for New Trial No 54
- Pearce v Foster & ors
- In re a Solicitor v Ex parte Incorporated Law Soc not before 16th April
- Maskell v The Inter-Oceanic Steam Yachting Co Id
- Streeter v Pixley & Wife
- Smith v Wallis motion for judgt to be argued with motion for New Trial No 55
- Smith v Newton motion for judgt to be argued with motion for New Trial No 60
- Baldry v Bates sued, &c motion for judgt to be argued with motion for New Trial No 41
- Cotton v Beeston Brewery Co
- Brown v Booth
- Miller & anr v Normand
- Pugh v Routledge
- Calver v Eytom & anr, trading, &c
- Ashby & Co v Strong, trading, &c
- Vagilano Bros v Webster
- Trower v Kemble
- Ewen & ors v Ewen
- Quick & Son v The Plymouth, Devonport and District Tramways Co
- R Quick v Same
- Dickinson v The East Riding Club Racecourse Co, lnd
- In re R Furber, a Solicitor
- Longhenbury v Heathcote
- Turner v Whitley
- CROWN PAPER.**
- For Judgment.
- Middlesex The Queen v H M Postmaster-General Nisi for mandamus to appoint Arbitrators Ex parte G W Ry Co Argued 28th March, 1884
- For Argument.
- Kent The Queen v Justices for the County of Kent Nisi for certiorari for order of Sessions Ex pte Lewis
- Kent Lucas & ors v Whatman Magistrate's case
- London Brightimor v May & ors Mayor's Court Nisi to enter judgt for dfts or new trial Sir T Chambers, Judge
- Met Pol Dist School Board for London v Wood Magistrate's case
- Somersetshire The Queen v Keynsham Union Order of Sessions Nisi to quash Middlesex The Queen v Guardians of St Mary, Islington Order of Sessions Nisi to quash
- Cumberland Waye v Thomson Magistrate's case
- Kent, Folkestone, Earslshaw v White County court Nisi to enter judgt for defendant or new trial H H Judge Belts
- Sussex Weekes v King Magistrate's case
- Glamorganshire, Swansea Vivian v Scicombe & anr County court Nisi to enter judgt for defendants or new trial H H Judge Williams

April 18, 1885.

THE SOLICITORS' JOURNAL.

409

Middlesex, Bow Giles v The Thames Iron Works & Co County Court Nisi to enter verdict for defendants or new trial H H Judge Prentice
 SWEET, Davis v Churchwardens & Overseers of the parish of Winchelsea Quarter Sessions Special case, 12 & 13 Vict. c. 45, s. 11
 Middlesex The Queen v Overseer of All Saints, Pooler Nisi for mandamus to make out Supplemental List Expte East & West India Dock Co Same The Queen v Overseers of Bromley St Leonard Ditto
 Buckinghamshire, Buckingham Brooks v London & N W Ry Co County Court Plaintiff's nisi to set aside order for new trial H H Judge Cooke
 London Boundy v Pile Mayor's Court Nisi to enter non-suit Sir T Chambers, Judge
 Middlesex, Brompton Hearn v Phillips & anr County Court Nisi to enter judgment for defendants or new trial H H Judge Stonor
 Nottinghamshire, Nottingham Dunn v Butler & anr County Court Plaintiff's nisi for new trial H H Judge Bristow
 Cumberland, Whitehaven Cowler v Moreby Colliery Co County Court Plaintiff's nisi for new trial
 Leicestershire, Leicester Thorpe & Son v Dakin County Court Nisi to enter non-suit or for new trial H H Judge Hooper
 Stoke-upon-Trent Billington v Cycles Magistrate's case
 Cardiganshire, Aberystwith Morgan v Jones County Court Nisi to enter judgment for debt or for new trial H H Judge Williams
 Suffolk Turner v Salmon & anr Magistrate's case
 London King v Chapman City of London Court Nisi to enter judgment for defendant or new trial Mr Commissioner Kerr, Judge
 Pontypridd, Glamorganshire Jones v Matthews Magistrate's case
 Cheshire Langley v Churton Magistrate's case
 Met Pol Dist Grosvenor Bank & Discount Co v Boaler Magistrate's case
 Westmoreland, Kendal Farren v Nelson & anr County Court Special case Defendant's appeal H H Judge Ingham
 Somersetshire, Taunton Chapman v Weall County Court Defendant's nisi for new trial F J Smith Esq Deputy Judge
 England The Queen v Kempson & anr Nisi for prohibition from enforcing order of Railway Commissioners Ex parte Gt Western Ry Co
 Middlesex, Bloomsbury Gt Northern Ry Co v Barber County Court Nisi to enter judgment for Defendant or for new trial H H Judge Bacon
 Essex, Colchester Ennew v Gt Eastern Ry Co County Court Nisi to enter judgment for defendants or for new trial
 Carnarvonshire, Carnarvon Griffith v Roberts & anr Trustees of Llamberry Bigg Soc County Court Plaintiff's nisi to set aside or vary order of County Court Judge or for new trial H H Judge Lloyd
 Middlesex, Westminster Foreman & anr v Chapman County Court Defendant's nisi for new trial H H Judge Bayley
 Lincolnshire, Boston Moore v Rowley County Court Nisi to enter judgment for defendant or for new trial H H Judge Stephen
 Durham, Durham McCarten v N E Ry Co County Court Special case Dft's appeal H H Judge Maynell
 Glamorganshire, Pontypridd Williams v Morgan County Court Dft's nisi to hear action or for new trial
 Middlesex, Bow Chapman v Nitro-Phosphate & Co Ltd County Court Nisi to enter judgment for Dfts or for new trial H H Judge Prentice
 Staffordshire, Wolverhampton Hill & anr v Skidmore & anr County Court Special case Dft's appeal H H Judge Griffith
 Leicestershire The Queen v E H Warner, Esq. & anr JJ, &c Nisi for certiorari for order of Justice Ex pte Dewick, otherwise Newby
 Devonshire, Barnstaple Williams v Lovering County Court Deft's nisi for new trial
 Lancashire, Liverpool Parr & anr v Bradbury County Court Nisi to enter judgment for debt or for new trial H H Judge Collier
 Yorkshire, W R The Queen v Birstall Local Board Nisi to quash order of Sessions
 Lancashire L & Y Ry Co v Whitehead Magistrate's case
 Yorkshire, Halifax In re Mary Pitchforth, dead (ex pte Guardians of Halifax Union) County Court Special case Trustee's appeal H H Judge Snagge
 Surrey The Queen v J Paget, Esq. (Met Pol Magistrate) Nisi for mandamus to hear appn of G Kemp for summons against J A Miller
 Lincolnshire The Queen v Commissioners for the drainage, &c, of River Ancholme Nisi for mandamus to clear navigation Ex pte Davey & anr
 Met Pol Dist Bewes v Wond Magistrate's case
 Lancashire, Oldham Brierley v Cowen County Court Plaintiff's nisi for new trial H H Judge Hutton
 Devonshire Laphorse & anr v Harvey Magistrate's case
 Suffolk, Ipswich Davey v Packard & Co County Court Nisi to enter judgment for debt or for new trial H H Judge Roxburgh
 Surrey Chertsey Rural Sanitary Authority v Conservators of River Thames Magistrate's case
 Norfolk, Norwich Kemp v Berney County Court Special case Deft's appeal H H Judge Price
 Lincolnshire The Queen v Commissioners of Sewers for the Wapentakes of Kirton and Skirbeck Nisi for certiorari for order Ex pte G N Ry Co

(To be continued.)

COMPANIES.

WINDING-UP NOTICES.

JOINT STOCK COMPANIES.

LIMITED IN CHANCERY.

BRITISH ELECTRIC LIGHT COMPANY, LIMITED.—Petition for winding up, presented April 8, directed to be heard before Bacon, V.C., on April 18. Morse, Lime st sq, solicitor for the petitioners [Gazette, April 10.]
 CARDIFF MASONIC CLUB COMPANY, LIMITED.—Kay, J., has fixed Thursday, April 25, at 12, at his chambers, for the appointment of an official liquidator
 FORDEE, GOODMAN, AND COMPANY, LIMITED.—Petition for winding up, presented April 8, directed to be heard before Kay, J., on April 24. Tarn, Philpot lane, solicitor for the petitioners
 GARREARD AND COMPANY, LIMITED.—Chitty, J., has fixed Wednesday, April 22, at 12, at his chambers, for the appointment of an official liquidator

JOHN VERNON HOPE AND COMPANY, LIMITED.—By an order made by Bacon, V.C., dated March 28, it was ordered that the Company be wound up. Wild and Co Ironmonger lane, solicitor for the petitioners
 PATENT STEAM WASHER AND LAUNTRY COMPANY, LIMITED.—Bacon, V.C., has fixed April 22, at 12, at his chambers, for the appointment of an official liquidator [Gazette, April 14.]

COUNTY PALATINE OF LANCASTER.

LIMITED IN CHANCERY.

BISHWELL COAL AND COKE COMPANY, LIMITED.—Creditors are required, on or before May 30, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to George Williamson, 13, Norfolk st, Manchester. Slater and Co, Manchester, solicitors for the liquidator [Gazette, April 14.]

CREDITORS' CLAIMS.

CREDITORS UNDER ESTATES IN CHANCERY.

LAST DAY OF PROOF.

ONION, JOSEPH ROBERT, Victoria grove, Hackney. April 27. Onion v Imbert-Terry, Bacon, V.C. Angell and Co, Gresham st [Gazette, April 3.]

DODSON, GEORGE PETER, Uxbridge rd, Gent. April 30. Holland v Keen, Bacon, V.C. Chapman, London Wall
 RUSSELL, HANNAH, Witcham, Isle of Ely, Draper. May 9. Coe v Corkerton, Pearson, J. Watts, St Ives, Hunts [Gazette, April 7.]

CREDITORS UNDER 22 & 23 VICT. CAP. 35.

LAST DAY OF CLAIM.

APCAR, SETH ARRATOON, Craven hill, Bayswater. May 30. Lattey and Hart, Devonshire sq, Bishopsgate

BRUNELLE, LYDIA, Heigham, Norwich. May 9. Copeman and Lasell, Norwich
 BURRITT, MARGARET JANE, Farnham Royal, Bucks. April 30. Long and Co, Windsor

CHYNOWETH, WILLIAM HARRIS, King's College rd, St. John's Wood, Gent. April 30. Burton, Blackfriars rd

COTTELL, WHITNEY, Monkton Farleigh, Wilts, Carpenter. May 1. Spackman, Bradford on Avon

CUNNINGHAM, ELIZABETH, Tibberton, near Droitwich, Worcester. May 1. Corser and Co, Wolverhampton

HALL, WILLIAM, Brighton, Clerk in Holy Orders. May 15. Upperton and Bacon, Brighton

HAY, WILLIAM BANKS, Kingston upon Hull, Doctor of Medicine. June 1. Thorne, Hull

JOHNSON, JACOB, Saint George, Gloucester, Carpenter. May 1. Simmott and Spofforth, Bristol

JONES, THOMAS DREYER, Loraine place, Holloway, Doctor of Medicine. May 31. Goldberg and Langdon, West st, Finsbury circus

KEYSE, EMMA ANN WHITEHEAD, Babbscombe, Torquay. May 8. Burd, Okehampton

MARCH, PHILIP JOSEPH, St Heliers, Jersey. May 22. Porter, Billiter st

MILLER, CHARLOTTE, Vassall rd, Brixton. May 30. Saxon and Son, Queen Victoria st

MILWARD, MARY ANNE, Bath. May 16. Poole and Co, Chancery lane

MOORE, RICHARD ALEXANDER, Liverpool, Bachelor. July 1. Whitaker, Lancaster pl, Strand

NICHOLLS, Major HENRY, Prince's st, Cavendish sq. April 30. Tucker and Lake, Serie st, Lincoln's inn

ODELL, JOHN, New sq, Lincoln's inn, Solicitor. June 1. Carlisle, New sq, Lincoln's inn

RESSA, FREDERICK FRANCIS, Gibraltar, Commercial Clerk. May 11. Gush and Co, Finsbury circus

RUTT, ALFRED, St Mary Abbott's terrace. April 30. Bowlings and Co, Essex st, Strand

SEWELL, GEORGE DRAKE, Durham villas, Kensington, Esq. June 21. Allen and Son, Carlisle st, Soho sq

SHAW, JANE BUTTERFIELD, Chatburn, Lancaster. July 1. Whitaker, Lancaster pl, Strand

SHELDRAKE, ANN, Hadleigh, Suffolk. June 1. Grimwade, Hadleigh

SLATER, HELEN, North Carlton Hall, Lincoln. May 24. Toynebee and Co, Lincoln

SMITH, CATHERINE, Galleywall rd, Birminghams. May 14. Hodgkinson and Watts, Eastcheap

SOMERVILLE, ELIZABETH, Stoke Devonport. May 13. Somerville, Lincoln's inn fields

SOMERVILLE, JAMES BOWEN, Stoke Devonport, Devon, a Commander R.N. May 15. Somerville, Lincoln's inn fields

STANFORTH, THOMAS, Sheffield, Slater. June 30. Broomhead and Co, Sheffield

STEADMAN, SARAH SUSANNAH, Worthing. May 4. Verrell, Worthing

SWINLEY, THOMAS, Wolverhampton, Plumber. May 1. Corser and Co, Wolverhampton

TABOR, FREDERICK, Bocking, Essex, Gent. May 31. Voley and Cunningham, Braintree

TAYLOR, EMMA GEORGINA BENTLEY, York. May 9. Wynne and Son, Lincoln's inn fields

VINES, DAVID, Bristol, Gent. June 1. Fursell and Co, Bristol

WHITE, JAMES, Grove terrace, Tottenham. April 30. Hodgkinson and Watts, Eastcheap [Gazette, April 8.]

ANDREW, THOMAS RICHARDSON, Patricroft, Lancaster, Architect. May 18. Jones, Manchester

BELLOT, JOSEPH, Souffriere, St Mark, Dominica. June 30. Sheppard and Sons, Finsbury circus

ENGLAND, EMILY FRANCES, Oxford terrace, Hyde Park. May 7. Paterson and Co, Lincoln's inn fields

FERRAND, JOHN, Astley, nr Kippax, York, Grocer. May 1. Ebdison and Ebdison, Leeds

HAIKE, ROBERT, Newport, nr Middlesbrough, Yeoman. April 21. Fryer, West Hartlepool

LEWIS, JACOB, Carlton, Cardiff, Miller. May 18. Morris and Son, Cardiff

LOCKE, FRANCIS ALEXANDER SYDENHAM, Bromham, Wilts, Esq. May 11. Meek and Jackson, Devizes

LOFTUS, LOUISA MARIA, South st, Park lane. May 21. Baileys and Co, Boreham st

PRATT, MARY JANE, Elthorpe, York. May 5. Richardson and Hyson, Harrogate

STEWART, SIR HERBERT, Hans pl, Chelsea, Major General, K.C.B. June 30. Richardson and Sadler, Golden sq

April 18, 1885.

- TEMPO, ELIZABETH**, Northend, Buckingham. June 1. Hedges and Co, Wal-

lingford

VOELCKER, JOHN CHRISTOPHER AUGUSTUS, Argyll rd, Kensington, Analytical Chemist. May 15. Barlow and Co, Birmingham

WHITE, GEORGE, Leytonstone, Essex, Distillery Brewer. July 1. Susan White, 18, Penford st, Ossett rd. April 28. Burton, Ossett [Gazette, April 7.]

WILBY, JOHN, Ossett, York. April 28. Burton, Ossett

BARLOW, JOSEPH, Haslington, Chester, Gent. June 1. Hill, Crewe

BOOTH, GEORGE AYSCOUGH, Bath, Clerk in Holy Orders. June 1. Robertson and Co, Bath

BREWIN, WILLIAM, Kingston upon Hull, Fish Merchant. April 27. Stephenson, Hull

CAMPBELL, JOHN FRANCIS, Campden Hill, Kensington, Barrister at Law. May 22. Wing and Du Cane, Gray's inn sq

CARMAN, WILLIAM, Brenchley, Kent, Farmer. May 10. Buss, Tunbridge Wells

CHANT, DANIEL, Stoke under Ham, Somerset, Publican. May 1. Walter, Il-

minster

CREASEY, BLETHCER, Kirton Skeldyke, Lincoln, Farmer. May 19. Bonner and Calthrop, Spalding

DALLAS, JOHN FORBES, Wyke Regis, Dorset, Gent. May 2. Tyzard and George, Weymouth

EVANS, JOSEPH, Walsall, Staffordshire, Boat Builder. May 7. Duignan and Elliot, Walsall

FERGUSON, SAMUEL, Arbour sq, Stepney, Custom House Officer. May 9. Martin, Mincing lane

GILL, JAMES, East Dereham, Norfolk, Ironfounder. May 11. Cooper and Nor-

gate, East Dereham

GREENE, ANNE AUGUSTA, Newton Abbot, Devon. May 16. Smith and Co, Truro

HOLDEN, JOHN, Walsall, Staffordshire, Innkeeper. May 7. Duignan and Elliot, Walsall

HUMPHREY, New Cut, Lambeth, Pawnbroker. June 2. Gowing and Co, Finsbury pavement

JOHNSON, ROBERT WINTER, Melton Mowbray, Leicester, Architect. June 30. Darley and Cumberland, John st, Bedford row

KENRICK, TIMOTHY, Edgbaston, Birmingham, Esq. June 7. Ryland and Co., Birmingham

LARGE, ELIZABETH, Stow-on-the-Wold, Gloucester. April 30. Parker, Stow-on-

the-Wold

LAUGHTON, WILLIAM, Cazenove rd, Stoke Newington, Share Dealer. May 16. Martin, London-wall

LONGBOTTON, ABRAHAM, Halifax, Manufacturers' Salesman. June 1. Robson and Suter, Halifax

MARTIN, THOMAS, Reading, Gent. May 12. Hoffman, Reading

MAY, GEORGE, Reading, Berks. May 6. May, Reading

OTTAWAY, ELIZABETH, New Crossard, New Cross. May 12. Mason and Trotter, Maddox st, Regent st

PARKY, FREDERICK, John SIDNEY, Onslow sq, Sunninghill, Berks, Esq. May 18. Tattham and Pym, Frederick's pl, Old Jewry

PLEVIN, RICHARD, Woodcote, Salop. May 1. Underhill, Newport

POULTER, ELIZABETH, Rotherham, York. April 30. Willis, Rotherham

POULTER, ROBERT, Rotherham, York, Innkeeper. April 30. Willis, Rotherham

RIGBY, JOHN, Chorley, Lancaster, Esq. May 1. Charney and Co, Preston

RINGLAND, WILLIAM HANS, Hanley, Stafford, Draper. May 11. Bishop, Hanley

ROBERTS, EDWARD THOMAS LUCAS, Brislington, nr Bristol, Gent. May 10. Irwin and Nash, Gray's inn sq

SALTER, AMELIA, Reading. May 12. Hoffman, Reading

SANDILANDS, WILLIAM, Ripple, Worcester, Hay Dealer. June 1. Powell, Upton-upon-Severn

STEPHENS, SUSANNA, Florence, Italy. May 10. Williams, Cardiff

TAYLOR, SAMUEL, Bradford, Wilt, Draper. April 18. Jones, Bradford

TUNSTALL, HENRY, Leeds, Gent. May 18. Maud, Leeds

WALKER, DAVID, Armitage, Stafford. June 1. Loxton, Walsall

WHARRETT, WILLIAM, Riccall, York, Farmer. May 22. Wood and Co., York

WHITAKER, GEORGE, Ancoats, Manchester. May 8. Johnson, Manchester [Gazette, April 10.]

ATRELL, MARY, Brighton. April 17. Boxall, Brighton

BINS, ELIZABETH, Keyston, Huntingdon. May 15. Hunnybun and Sons, Huntingdon

BRAZSHAW, WILLIAM, Eastby Drive, Ilkley, York, Gent. June 1. Nelson and Co, Leeds

BULTON, SAMUEL, Crownhill rd, Stratford, Retired Druggist. May 19. Curtis and Hinton, Union ct, Old Broad st

CEDERDALE, HANNAH, Dunswell, York. May 11. Walker and Harland, Hull

COX, MARIA, Kirkside, Blackheath. May 13. Hores and Pattison, Lincoln's inn fields

DEVEREUX, JANE, Bath. May 1. Tuson, Ilchester

DRAYTON, LAURENTIA, Southgate, Hants. May 20. Layton and Co, Budge row

EARL, EDWIN DAVIS, Coldharbour lane, Brixton, Brewers' Manager. May 20. Brown, Clerkenwell's lane

FENNER, EDWARD FRANCIS, Chester, Esq. June 18. Brown and Rogers, Chester

HARVEY, THOMAS WHITTON, Wirksworth, Derby, Gent. June 8. Sale and Mills, Derby

HOGG, JANE, Greville pl, Kilburn Priory. Aug 1. Dowse, New inn

HOLY, JOHN, Oldham, Lancaster, Brickmaker. May 6. Jones, Oldham

HUTCHINSON, EDMUND, High Wycombe, Chair Manufacturer. May 20. Parker and Wilkins, High Wycombe

JACKER, CHARLES, Malton, York, Solicitor. May 2. Jackson, Malton

LEONARD, MARGARET, Langattock-juxta-Caerleon, Monmouth. June 1. Gusa-
land and Lewisohn, Newport

LIGHT, RICHARD, Harley st, Cavendish sq, Cabinet Manufacturer. June 1. Angel and Co., Gresham st

MATTICK, SARAH, Rockville, Skipton, York. June 1. Heelis and Thompson, Mifflin, James, Leeds, Head and Shoe Maker. June 12. Addyman, Leeds

NEWKIRK, GEORGE GUNNELL, Bexley, Kent, Solicitor. May 15. Newman, Cornhill

OVERDEK, ANNIE, St Helier's, Jersey. May 16. Brook and Co, Basinghall st

PALMER, ERROL, New Buckenham, Norfolk. May 12. Clowes, New Bucken-
ham

PARK, HARRIET, Longridge rd, South Kensington. May 15. Park, Ulverston, Lancashire

PATTISON, EDWARD, Newcastle upon Tyne, Grocer. May 18. Hoyle and Co, Newcastle upon Tyne

PRANCE, RICHARD ATKINSON, Osborne rd, Toffington pk, Gent. June 1. May-
new, Bedford row

POEMONTIER, PHILIP FRANCIS, Swanage, Esq. May 14. Collins and Woods, Poole

RECKART, JANE, Middleton, York. May 2. Jackson, Malton

RODRIGUEZ, EDWARD WILLIAM BODRAGE, Merriott, Somerset, Esq. May 16. Spokes and Blake, Cheverstone

SEGUINOT, CHARLES, Handcross, Nethley Knabford, Chester, Solicitor. May 22. Evans, Liverpool's inn fields

SMITH, EDWARD, South Berrow, Somerset, Farmer. May 6. Leach, Martock

STANFORD, WILLIAM, Hetherington, Norfolk, Farmer. June 1. Whites and Co, Wymondham

WHITFIELD, WILLIAM, Langham pl, Merchant. June 1. Roberts and Peters, Langham pl

WHITTINGTON, WILLIAM, Shadley, Warwick, Gent. May 1. Britton, Alcester

WOOD, CHARLES, East st, Old Kent rd. June 8. Greaves, Knight Ridder st, Alcester. April 14.

SALES OF ENSUING WEEK.

- April 20.—Messrs. PHILIP D. TUCKETT & Co., at the Mart, at 12, Leasehold Property (see advertisement April 11, p. 4).
April 21.—Messrs. SALTER, REX, & Co. at the Mart, at 2 p.m., Absolute Reversion (see advertisement, this week, p. 4).
April 24.—Messrs. NEWTON, TRISTRAM, WATNEY, & Co., at the Mart, at 3 p.m., Leasehold Properties (see advertisements April 4, p. 380).

BIRTHS, MARRIAGES, AND DEATHS.

BIRTHS.

- ELLIS.**—April 8, at The Hollies, Wigan, Lancashire, the wife of Thomas Ratcliffe Ellis, solicitor, of a son.
TAYLOR.—April 13, at 5, Elsham-road, Kensington, W., the wife of H. Gawan Taylor, barrister-at-law, of a daughter.

MARRIAGES.

- HALL—BARBIEE.—April 7, at the Church of Saint Louis d'Antin, Paris, Charles A. Kennerley Hall, advocate, to Marguerite Defals, daughter of the late Edmond Barbier, formerly of Brighton.

HAMILTON—ARCHEBOLD.—April 14, at St. Paul's Knightsbridge, Archibald Henry Hamilton, barrister-at-law, to Mary A., widow of James Archbold Pears-Archbold, of Shotley Lodge, county Durham.

MOORE—WHITE.—April 11, at St. Peter's Church, Eaton-square, Thomas Coney Tunnard-Moore, barrister, to Eliza Moresby, daughter of Robert Azlack White, of Grantham.

DEATH

- MACTAGGART.—March 13, at Goodwood, Singapore, William Charles Mactaggart, B.A., barrister, Inner Temple, advocate and solicitor of the Supreme Court of the Straits Settlements, aged 28.

LONDON GAZETTES.

THE BANKRUPTCY ACT, 1883.

BANQUET HOT
FRIDAY, April 10, 1885.

KIRK, April 10, 1860
RECEIVING ORDERS.

- Baumber, John Emperingham, Hundleby, Lincolnshire, Farmer. Boston. Pet Apr 7. Ord Apr 8. Exam May 14 at 1

Crow, Edward, Mablethorpe, Lincolnshire, Coal Merchant. Gt Grimsby. Pet Mar 22. Ord Apr 8. Exam Apr 29 at 11 at Townhall, Grimsby

Davies, Elsie, Tenby, Pembrokeshire, Tailor. Pembroke Dock. Pet Apr 8. Ord Apr 8. Exam Apr 15 at 12.30 at County Court Office, Pembroke Dock

Doe, John Hall, Eaton Bray, Beds, Vicar, Luton. Pet Apr 4. Ord Apr 8. Exam Apr 23 at 2 at Court house, Luton

Durtmull, John, Ashford, Kent, Grocer. Canterbury. Pet Apr 8. Ord Apr 8. Exam Apr 24

Dyson, Robert, King's Lynn, Norfolk, Lathrender. King's Lynn. Pet Apr 7. Ord Apr 7. Exam Apr 29 at 11 at Court house, King's Lynn

Farrar, Robert, Manchester, Engineer. Salford. Pet Apr 8. Ord Apr 8. Exam Apr 22 at 2.30

Folwell, Frederic Stephen, and Robert Stephen Laming, Brentwood, Nurserymen. Chelmsford. Pet Mar 19. Ord Apr 8. Exam May 11 at 1 at Shirehall, Chelmsford

Goldstone, Simon, Blackburn, Tailor. Blackburn. Pet Apr 8. Ord Apr 8. Exam Apr 29 at 11

Grafunder, William, Cardiff, Boarding house Keeper. Cardiff. Pet Apr 8. Ord Apr 8. Exam May 6 at 2

Harrison, Alfred, Liverpool, Solicitor. Liverpool. Pet Feb 12. Ord Apr 8. Exam Apr 29 at 11 at Court house, Government bldgs, Victoria st, Liverpool

Hinks and Radcliffe, Vigo st, Regent st, Jewellers. High Court. Pet Mar 25. Ord Apr 8. Exam May 15 at 11 at 34, Lincoln's Inn fields

Hulbert, James, Gladstone st, South Lambeth, Pianoforte Manufacturer. High Court. Pet Apr 8. Ord Apr 8. Exam May 15 at 11 at 34, Lincoln's inn fields

Mann, Charles, Stratford, Oilman. High Court. Pet Apr 8. Ord Apr 8. Exam May 14 at 11 at 34, Lincoln's inn fields

Please, William Isaac, Henry Charles Ingram, and William Heydon, Duffield, Derbyshire, Colour Manufacturers. Derby. Pet March 30. Ord Apr 8. Exam May 16

Royce, Thomas Henry, Queen's rd, Peckham, Draper. High Court. Pet Mar 29. Ord Apr 8. Exam May 12 at 11 at 34, Lincoln's inn fields

Shelton, William, Wollaston, Northamptonshire, Boot Manufacturer. Northampton. Pet Apr 1. Ord Apr 1. Exam Apr 29

Stanley, Charles, Birmingham, Boot Manufacturer. Birmingham. Pet Apr 8. Ord Apr 8. Exam Apr 28 at 2

Stubbins, Sarah, Nottingham, Confectioner. Nottingham. Pet Apr 8. Ord Apr 8. Exam May 19

Thomas, Hugh, Carnarvon, Licensed Victualler. Bangor. Pet Apr 8. Ord Apr 8. Exam May 11 at 12.30

Wolfe, George, Romford, Cattle Dealer. Chelmsford. Pet Apr 8. Ord Apr 8. Exam May 11 at 1 at Shirehall, Chelmsford

VISIT MEETINGS.

- Close, John Douglas, Hull, Chemist. Apr 20 at 3. Official Receiver, New st, Huddersfield
Cooke, James Holder, Birmingham, Warehouseman. Apr 23 at 11. Official Receiver, Birmingham
Davies, George Allen, Old Bridge, Haverfordwest, Builder. Apr 17 at 11. Castle Hotel, Haverfordwest
Doughty, George, Derby, Auctioneer. Apr 17 at 3. Official Receiver, St James' chbrs, Derby
Irving, George, Birmingham, Egg Merchant. Apr 29 at 11. Official Receiver, Birmingham
Joseph, Lyon J., Birmingham, Jeweller. Apr 20 at 3. Official Receiver, Birmingham
Millar, Alexander, Derby, Hatter. Apr 17 at 2. Official Receiver, St James' chbrs, Derby
Norton, Shadrach, Stoney Stanton, Leicestershire, Builder. Apr 17 at 3. Official Receiver, 28, Friar lane, Leicester
Thompson, Henry William, Manchester, Waiter. Apr 23 at 3. Official Receiver, Oden's chbrs, Bridge st, Manchester
Todd, Charles Louis, Newcastle on Tyne, Working Jeweller. Apr 18 at 11.30
Official Receiver, County chbrs, Newcastle on Tyne
Turnbull, William, Blyth, Northumberland, Innkeeper. Apr 18 at 11. Official Receiver, County chbrs, Newcastle on Tyne

ADJUDICATIONS.

Clifford, Talbot Barton, Cheltenham, Grocer. Cheltenham. Pet Mar 30. Ord Apr 2.
 Crumpton, William Henry, Bury, Lancashire, Builder. Bolton. Pet Mar 17. Ord Apr 1.
 Enoch, William, Staunton, Gloucestershire, no occupation. Newport, Mon. Pet Mar 20. Ord Apr 8.
 Masters, John Hanbury, Bolehall, Warwickshire, Corn Merchant. Birmingham. Pet Mar 12. Ord Apr 8.
 Richards, William Howell, St Clears, Carmarthenshire, General Merchant. Carmarthen. Pet Mar 24. Ord Apr 8.
 Shelton, William, Woollaston, Northamptonshire, Boot Manufacturer. Northampton. Pet Apr 1. Ord Apr 8.
 Slater, William, Runton, Norfolk, Shoemaker. Norwich. Pet Mar 31. Ord Apr 8.
 Taylor, Joseph, Whitley, Northumberland, Tinsmith. Newcastle on Tyne. Pet Mar 18. Ord Apr 8.
 Todd, Charles Louis, Newcastle on Tyne, Working Jeweller. Newcastle on Tyne. Pet Apr 4. Ord Apr 8.
 Turnbull, William, Blyth, Northumberland, Innkeeper. Newcastle on Tyne. Pet Apr 2. Ord Apr 8.

TUESDAY, April 14, 1885.
RECEIVING ORDERS.

Bailey, Henry, jun., Abergavenny, Monmouthshire, Gent. Tredegar. Pet Mar 25. Ord Apr 10. Exam Apr 27 at 10.30.
 Bell, Joseph, High st, Peckham, Boot Manufacturer. High Court. Pet Mar 26. Ord Apr 10. Exam May 13 at 11 at 34, Lincoln's inn fields.
 Berry, William, Swansea, Glamorganshire, China Dealer. Swansea. Pet Apr 11. Ord Apr 11. Exam May 14.
 Brine, Robert Richard Friend, Tolland Royal, Wiltshire, Farmer. Salisbury. Pet Apr 8. Ord Apr 8. Exam May 8 at 12.
 Cocks, William, High st, Woolwich, Baker. Greenwich. Pet Apr 9. Ord Apr 9. Exam May 1 at 1.
 Davis, George Edwin, South Shields, General Draper. Newcastle on Tyne. Pet Apr 10. Ord Apr 10. Exam Apr 23.
 Drake, John, Halifax, Bootmaker. Halifax. Pet Apr 10. Ord Apr 11. Exam May 12.
 Dykes, Mary Ann, Taunton, Milliner. Taunton. Pet Apr 8. Ord Apr 10. Exam Apr 29 at 12.
 Evans, Ellen, Honiton, Devonshire, Widow. Exeter. Pet Mar 23. Ord Apr 9. Exam May 14 at 11.
 Ellis, Philip Parsell, Haverfordwest, Pembrokeshire, Grocer. Pembroke Dock. Pet Apr 9. Ord Apr 9. Exam Apr 21 at 2 at the Temperance Hall, Pembroke Dock.
 Farmer, John, and Joseph Farrar, Elland, Yorkshire, Fire Brick Makers. Halifax. Pet Apr 8. Ord Apr 8. Exam May 12.
 Gambrill, Austen, and Thomas Gambrill, jun., Crundale, Farmers. Canterbury. Pet Mar 18. Ord Apr 10. Exam Apr 24.
 Gibson, Anne, Ambleside, Westmoreland, Grocer. Kendal. Pet Apr 9. Ord Apr 11. Exam May 2 at 12 at Courthouse, Townhall, Kendal.
 Gibson, Thomas, Watermillcock, Cumberland, Farmer. Carlisle. Pet Mar 31. Ord Apr 11. Exam Apr 22 at 3 at the Courthouse, Carlisle.
 Barker, James, Copperwhite, Kendal, Westmoreland, Coal Merchant. Kendal. Pet Mar 31. Ord Apr 11. Exam May 12 at 12 at the Courthouse, Townhall, Kendal.
 Heather, Thomas, Lendenhall st, Schoolmaster. High Court. Pet Apr 9. Ord Apr 9. Exam May 15 at 11 at 34, Lincoln's inn fields.
 Hedges, Henry, and James Backhouse, West Ardsley, Yorkshire, Hay Dealers. Wakefield. Pet Apr 9. Ord Apr 9. Exam May 7.
 Howland, Charles, High Wycombe, Buckinghamshire, Bootmaker. Aylesbury. Pet Apr 7. Ord Apr 8. Exam May 13 at 11.30 at the County hall, Aylesbury.
 Ireland, Robert Clement, Southampton, Draper. Southampton. Pet Mar 18. Ord Apr 9. Exam Apr 24 at 12.
 Jackson, Alfred, Tinsley, Wine Merchant. Sheffield. Pet Mar 25. Ord Apr 9. Exam Apr 30 at 11.30.
 Jones, John, Liverpool, Builder. Liverpool. Pet Mar 14. Ord Apr 9. Exam Apr 23 at 11 at the Courthouse, Victoria st, Liverpool.
 McLellan, John, Upton, Butcher. Birkenhead. Pet Apr 9. Ord Apr 9. Exam Apr 22.
 Newall, John, Taunton, Tea-dealer. Taunton. Pet Apr 8. Ord Apr 8. Exam Apr 29 at 11 at Guildhall.
 Norris, Edwin, Luton, Bedfordshire, Bricklayer. Luton. Pet Apr 9. Ord Apr 9. Exam May 28 at 2 at the Courthouse, Luton.
 Northam, Isaac, Sandford Orcas, Somerset, Miller. Yeovil. Pet Apr 2. Ord Apr 8. Exam May 7.
 Park, John, Wigan, out of business. Wigan. Pet Apr 9. Ord Apr 9. Exam Apr 23 at 11.
 Perry, Richard William, Farmborough, Somerset, Licensed Victualler. Wells. Pet Apr 8. Ord Apr 9. Exam Apr 21 at 12.
 Pierce, Harry, High Wycombe, Buckinghamshire, Builder. Aylesbury. Pet Apr 11. Ord Apr 11. Exam May 13 at 11.30, County hall, Aylesbury.
 Beaumont, Charles, Aldrington, West Brighton, Wheelwright. Brighton. Pet Apr 10. Ord Apr 10. Exam Apr 30 at 12.
 Rice, Isaac, Gloucester, Coal Dealer. Gloucester. Pet Apr 10. Ord Apr 10. Exam May 12.
 Ricks, George, Bridgwater, Somersetshire, Livery-stable Keeper. Bridgwater. Pet Apr 7. Ord Apr 9. Exam Apr 27 at 11.
 Robinson, Henry Garbutt, Fliy, Yorkshire, Gunmaker. Scarborough. Pet Apr 11. Ord Apr 11. Exam May 19 at 12.
 Rodan, David Bell, Blackburn, Draper. Blackburn. Pet Apr 10. Ord Apr 10. Exam Apr 28 at 11.
 Senior, Joseph Scott, Batley Carr, Yorkshire, Sise Boiler. Dewsbury. Pet Apr 8. Ord Apr 9. Exam May 27.
 Showell, William, Wolverhampton, Bellows Manufacturer. Wolverhampton. Pet Apr 10. Ord Apr 10. Exam Apr 28.
 Smith, F., London-road, Croydon, Draper. Croydon. Pet Mar 24. Ord Apr 10. Exam Apr 29.
 Smith, Henry Jones, Lonsdale-road, Barnes, Commercial Clerk. Wandsworth. Pet Apr 1. Ord Apr 11. Exam May 14.
 Stanfield, John Slater, and Frederic Stanfield, Bradford, Yorkshire, Corn Millers. Bradford. Pet Apr 11. Ord Apr 11. Exam Apr 28 at 12.
 Taylor, Barnabas, Rye, Sussex, Licensed Victualler. Hastings. Pet Apr 8. Ord Apr 9. Exam May 11.
 Taylor, Elizabeth, Brentwood, Clothier, Widow. Chelmsford. Pet Apr 10. Ord Apr 10. Exam May 11 at 1 at Shirehall, Chelmsford.
 Tenant, John, Burwood mews, Edgware rd, Cab Proprietor. High Court. Pet Apr 9. Ord Apr 9. Exam May 12 at 11 at 34, Lincoln's inn fields.
 Thompson, John, Ranskill, Nottinghamshire, Farmer. Lincoln. Pet Apr 10. Ord Apr 10. Exam Apr 27 at 2.30.
 Tucker, John, Kingsbridge, Devonshire, Corn Merchant. East Stonehouse. Pet Apr 10. Ord Apr 10. Exam May 8.
 Uwins, Thomas, West Brighton, Grocer. Brighton. Pet Mar 31. Ord Apr 10. Exam Apr 30 at 12.
 Wales, John Edmunds, Camborne, Cornwall, Butcher. Truro. Pet Mar 29. Ord Apr 9. Exam May 9 at 11.30.
 Waller, Nathaniel, Luton, Bedfordshire, Straw Hat Manufacturer. Luton. Pet Apr 9. Ord Apr 11. Exam May 28 at 2 at Court house, Luton.
 Waters, G., St. Paul's rd, Mile End, Baker. High Court. Pet Feb 11. Ord Apr 9. Exam May 12 at 11 at 34, Lincoln's inn fields.

FIRST MEETINGS.

Atkinson, George Osborne, Sutton, Surrey, Wine Merchant. Apr 22 at 2. Bankruptcy bldgs, Portugal st, Lincoln's inn fields.
 Basham, Alfred William, Curtain rd, Timber Merchant. Apr 27 at 11. Bankruptcy bldgs, Portugal st, Lincoln's inn fields.
 Borman, Frank Tidwell, and Percival William Wilson, Wood st, Flower Warehousemen. Apr 24 at 12. Bankruptcy buildings, Portugal st, Lincoln's inn fields.
 Brine, Robert Richard Friend, Tolland Royal, Wiltshire, Farmer. Apr 21 at 3. Official Receiver, Salisbury.
 Brown, Edward Andrew, Bedale, Yorkshire, Licensed Victualler. Apr 22 at 11.30. Clay's Railway Hotel, Northallerton.
 Brown, Frederick, Maidenhead, Outfitter. Apr 22 at 3. Official Receiver, 109, Victoria st, Westminster.
 Butcher, George, Euston rd, Shipping Agent. Apr 24 at 3. 33, Carey st, Lincoln's inn.
 Cohen, Joshua Abraham, Promenade, Camberwell, Clothier. Apr 24 at 11. 33, Carey st, Lincoln's inn.
 Crow, Edward, Mablethorpe, Lincolnshire, Coal Merchant. Apr 22 at 12. King's Head Hotel, Louth.
 Cruikshank, James, Beaconsfield Club, Pall Mall, Financial Agent. Apr 22 at 11. Bankruptcy bldgs, Portugal st, Lincoln's inn fields.
 Davies, Elias, Tenby, Pembrokeshire, Tailor. Apr 23 at 12.30. Official Receiver, Bristol.
 Davis, George Edwin, South Shields, General Draper. Apr 23 at 2. Official Receiver, County chbrs, Newcastle on Tyne.
 Doe, John Hall, Eaton Bray, Bedfordshire, Vicar of Eaton Bray. Apr 26 at 11. Messrs. Even and Roberts, Outer Temple (Room 42, 222 and 225, Strand).
 Douglas, John Ambrose, Ide Hill, nr Sevenoaks, Farmer. Apr 22 at 12. Cannon st, Hotel, Cannon st.
 Drake, John, Halifax, Boot Maker. Apr 23 at 11. Official Receiver, Townhall chbrs, Halifax.
 Durstall, John, Ashford, Kent, Grocer. Apr 21 at 2. Official Receiver, 11, Bank st, Ashford, Kent.
 Dykes, Mary Ann, Taunton, Milliner. Apr 24 at 11.30. Official Receiver, 9, Middle st, Taunton.
 Eames, Ellen, Honiton, Widow. Apr 23 at 11. Castle of Exeter, Exeter.
 Ellis, Philip Parsell, Haverfordwest, Pembrokeshire, Grocer. Apr 23 at 11. Official Receiver, Bristol.
 Farrar, John, and Joseph Farrar, Elland, Yorkshire, Fire Brick Makers. Apr 23 at 11. Official Receiver, Townhall chbrs, 13, Crossley st, Halifax.
 Farrar, Robert, Hulme, Manchester, Engineer. Apr 22 at 3. Court house, Ecclesbyle pl, Salford.
 Fowell, Frederick Stephen, and Robert Stephen Laming, Brentwood, Nurserymen. Apr 27 at 3. Auction Mart, Tokenhouse yard.
 Frantz, Paul, Upper st, Islington, Club Proprietor. Apr 22 at 2. Bankruptcy bldgs, Portugal st, Lincoln's inn fields.
 Gambrill, Austen, and Thomas Gambrill, jun., Crundale, nr Canterbury, Farmers. Apr 21 at 3. Official Receiver, 11, Bank st, Ashford, Kent.
 Gibson, Thomas, Greaves, Watermillcock, Cumberland, Farmer. Apr 22 at 3.30. 32, Fisher st, Carlisle.
 Goldstone, Simon, Blackburn, Tailor. Apr 22 at 3.30. County Court house, Blackburn.
 Goodwin, A. L., New Cross Gate, Surrey, Draper. Apr 24 at 2. 33, Carey st, Lincoln's inn.
 Halliwell, Thomas, Blackburn, Boot and Shoe Manufacturer. Apr 22 at 2.30. County Court house, Blackburn.
 Hinks, Henry, and Dudley Radcliffe, Vigo st, Regent st, Jewellers. Apr 23 at 12. Bankruptcy bldgs, Portugal st, Lincoln's inn fields.
 Ingram, Henry Charles (son, estate), Alpine terr, Union rd, Clapham, Mercantile Clerk. Apr 21 at 1.30. Official Receiver, St James's chbrs, Derby.
 Ireland, Robert Clement, Southampton, Draper. Southampton. Apr 23 at 2. Official Receiver, 4, East st, Southampton.
 Jack, Stuart, Arlington rd, Camden Town, Pianoforte Manufacturer. Apr 23 at 11. Bankruptcy bldgs, Portugal st, Lincoln's inn fields.
 Jones, Joseph Gordon, Holt, nr Trowbridge, Cloth Manufacturer. Apr 22 at 12.30. Official Receiver, Bank chbrs, Bristol.
 Lafargue, Frederick, H.M. Theatre, Haymarket, Theatrical Lessee. Apr 21 at 11. Bankruptcy bldgs, Portugal st, Lincoln's inn fields.
 Levy, Armand, Goswell rd, India-rubber Merchant. Apr 23 at 2. Bankruptcy bldgs, Portugal st, Lincoln's inn fields.
 Macdonald, John, Blinkbonny, Walton on Thames, Physician. Apr 21 at 11. 29 and 29, St Swithin's lane.
 Magee, Alfred Ernest, Brompton rd, Builder. Apr 27 at 2. Bankruptcy bldgs, Portugal st, Lincoln's inn fields.
 McCulloch, Samuel, Liverpool, Grocer. Apr 22 at 3. Official Receiver, 25, Victoria st, Liverpool.
 Newall, John, Taunton, Tea Dealer. Apr 21 at 12.15. George and Railway Hotel, Victoria st, Bristol.
 Norris, Edwin, Luton, Bricklayer. Apr 22 at 3.30. Official Receiver, 23, Park st, West Luton.
 Northam, Isaac, Sandford Orcas, Somersetshire, Miller. Apr 23 at 1. Three Choughs Hotel, Yeovil.
 Park, John, Wigan, out of business. Apr 23 at 10.30. County Court bldgs, Wigan.
 Parsons, Robert Henry Best, Pitchcombe, Gloucestershire, Solicitor. Apr 23 at 4.15. Royal George Hotel, Strand.
 Perry, Richard William, Farmborough, Licensed Victualler. Apr 23 at 1. Official Receiver, Bank chbrs, Bristol.
 Please, William Isaac, Henry Charles Ingram, and William Heydon, Duffield, Derbyshire, Colour Manufacturers. Apr 21 at 12. Official Receiver, 24, James's chbrs, Derby.
 Please, William Isaac (sep estate), Horsley, Derbyshire, Colour Manufacturer. Apr 21 at 1. Official Receiver, St James's chbrs, Derby.
 Pudsey, Rounding, Flamborough, Yorkshire, Fish Dealer. Apr 24 at 11.30. Official Receiver, 14, Newborough st, Scarborough.
 Raney, Richard, Graves, Scarborough, Boot Maker. Apr 27 at 11.30. Official Receiver, 74, Newborough st, Scarborough.
 Remnant, Charles, Aldrington, West Brighton, Wheelwright. Apr 21 at 2.30. Official Receiver, 38, Bond st, Brighton.
 Risks, George, Bridgwater, Livery Stable Keeper. Apr 23 at 11. Bristol Arms Inn, Bridgwater.
 Rice, Isaac, Gloucester, Coal Dealer. Apr 23 at 12. Official Receiver, 84, Barton st, Gloucester.
 Ridgway, Alexander, and Tobias Gainsford, Ridgway, Waterloo pl, Notaries. Apr 24 at 2. Bankruptcy bldgs, Portugal st, Lincoln's inn fields.

Shergold, George Samuel, Southsea, Baker. Apr 22 at 12. Official Receiver, 166, Queen st., Portsea.
 Showell, William, Wolverhampton, Bellows Maker. Apr 24 at 3.30. Official Receiver, St Peter's close, Wolverhampton
 Sinclair, Walter, Princes bldgs, Coventry st., Jeweller. Apr 23 at 2. Bankruptcy bldgs, Portugal st., Lincoln's inn fields
 Stanley, Charles, Birmingham, Boot Manufacturer. Apr 22 at 11. Official Receiver, Birmingham
 Taylor, Barnabas, Rye, Sussex, Licensed Victualler. Apr 23 at 2.30. Official Receiver, Townhall chmrs, Hastings
 Taylor, Elizabeth, Brentwood, Clothier. Apr 27 at 4. Auction Mart, Tokenhouse yd
 Thomas, Hugh, Carnarvon, Licensed Victualler. Apr 24 at 2. Royal Hotel, Carnarvon
 Thompson, John, Ranskill, Nottinghamshire, Farmer. Apr 23 at 12. Official Receiver, 2, St Benedict's sq., Lincoln
 Uwins, Thomas, West Brighton, Grocer. Apr 23 at 12. Official Receiver, 39, Bond st., Brighton
 Webb, Henry Chalk, Rainbow hill, Worcestershire, Patent Wood Manufacturer. Apr 27 at 11.30. Official Receiver, Worcester
 Willis, Arthur H., Leusanne rd., Peckham, gent. Apr 24 at 12. 38, Carey st., Lincoln's inn
 Wilson, Alfred, Scarborough, Coach Builder. Apr 22 at 11.30. Official Receiver, 74, Newborough st., Scarborough
 Wilson, Gawn, Wolverhampton, General Dealer. Apr 30 at 10. Official Receiver, Dudley
 Wiseman, John, Burnley, Joiner. Apr 23 at 3. Exchange Hotel, Nicholas st., Burnley
 Wolfe, George, Hornchurch, Essex, Cattle Dealer. Apr 23 at 4. County Court, Romford
 Woodhouse, John, Dudley, Licensed Victualler. Apr 30 at 10. Official Receiver, Dudley

ADJUDICATIONS.

Bagnall, Thomas Albert, Rotherham, Yorkshire, Fruiterer. Sheffield. Pet Mar 20. Ord Apr 9
 Barnes Charles Henry, York, Optician. York. Pet Mar 30. Ord Apr 10
 Barker, Benjamin, Salford, Lancashire, Milk Dealer. Salford. Pet Mar 9. Ord Apr 10
 Barnes, Richard, Liverpool, Flour Dealer. Liverpool. Pet Mar 9. Ord Apr 10
 Beale, William, and Alfred King, Springbourne, nr Bournemouth, Bricklayers. Poole. Pet Mar 6. Ord Apr 10
 Bosworth, Charles, Aston, Warwickshire, Builder. Birmingham. Pet Mar 23. Ord Apr 9
 Cheesbrough, Abraham, Wetherby, Yorkshire, Tailor. York. Pet Jan 19. Ord Apr 10
 Churchward, Owen, and Hedley Churchward, Aldershot, Wine Merchants. Guildford and Godalming. Pet Feb 18. Ord Apr 7
 Cocks, William, Woolwich, Baker. Greenwich. Pet Apr 9. Ord Apr 9
 Comley, Edwin, Hoxton sq., Bone Tooth Maker. High Court. Pet Jan 25. Ord Apr 9
 Crockett, Henry, West Derby, Lancashire, Cotton Dealer. Liverpool. Pet Feb 25. Ord Apr 10
 Davies, George Allen, Haverfordwest, Builder. Pembroke Dock. Pet Apr 2. Ord Apr 9
 Davis, George Edwin, South Shields, Draper. Newcastle on Tyne. Pet Apr 10. Ord Apr 11
 Dear, Conrad Burton, Newmarket All Saints, Cambridge, Draper. Cambridge. Pet Mar 23. Ord Apr 11
 Driver, James, jun., Diss, Norfolk, Tailor. Ipswich. Pet Mar 17. Ord Apr 11
 Durtal, John, Ashford, Kent, Grocer. Canterbury. Pet Apr 8. Ord Apr 10
 Farrar, Robert, Hulme, Manchester, Engineer, Millwright, and Machinist. Salford. Pet Apr 8. Ord Apr 10
 Faulkner, Louis, Hereford rd., Bayswater. High Court. Pet Feb 12. Ord Apr 8
 Fowle, William, Sunningdale, Brick Maker. High Court. Pet Mar 4. Ord Apr 9
 Garratt, Frederick, Church rd., Upper Norwood, Plumber. Croydon. Pet Mar 7. Ord Apr 10
 Gibb, William, Wolverhampton, Draper. Wolverhampton. Pet Mar 19. Ord Apr 10
 Hedges, John James, Stratford upon Avon, Warwickshire, Bootmaker. Warwick. Pet Mar 25. Ord Apr 10
 Howard, Eliza, York, Dealer in Fancy Goods. York. Pet Mar 30. Ord Apr 10
 Hubberstone, James, Rainhill, Lancashire, Innkeeper. Liverpool. Pet Mar 20. Ord Apr 10
 Jones, William, Liverpool, Builder. Liverpool. Pet Feb 26. Ord Apr 10
 McLellan, John, Upton, Butcher. Birkenhead. Pet Apr 9. Ord Apr 9
 Meadows, William Alfred, Rainhill, Lancashire, Tar Distiller. Liverpool. Pet March 18. Ord April 10
 Miller, Joseph, Wareham, Dorsetshire, Coal Merchant. Poole. Pet March 26. Ord April 11
 Morris, Sam, Birmingham, Clerk. Birmingham. Pet March 18. Pet April 9
 Moss, James William, and English Pearson, Lichfield st., Hanley, Tailors. Hanley, Burslem, and Tunstall. Pet March 18. Ord April 11
 Naylor, Thomas, Cleckheaton, York, Jeweller. Bradford. Pet March 27. Ord April 10

SCHWEITZER'S COCOATINA.

Anti-Dyspeptic Cocoa or Chocolate Powder.
 Guaranteed Pure Soluble Cocoa of the Finest Quality
 with the excess of fat extracted.

The Faculty pronounce it "the most nutritious, perfectly digestible beverage for Breakfast, Luncheon, or Supper, and invaluable for Invalids and Children."

Highly commended by the entire Medical Press.
 Being without sugar, spice, or other admixture, it suits all palates, keeps for years in all climates, and is four times the strength of cocoons THICKENED yet WEAKENED with starch, &c., and is REALITY CHEAPER than such Mixtures.

Made instantaneously with boiling water, a teaspoonful to a Breakfast Cup, costing less than a halfpenny.

COCOATINA à LA VARENNE is the most delicate, digestible, cheapest Manila Chocolate, and may be taken when richer chocolate is prohibited.

In tins at 1s. 6d., 2s., 6s. 6d., &c., by Chemists and Grocers.

Charities on Special Terms by the Sole Proprietor,
H. SCHWEITZER & CO., 10, Strand-st., London, W.

INTEMPERANCE.—Tower House Retreat,
 Westgate-on-Sea, Kent, licensed under the
 Habitual Drunkards Act, 1879.—There are now
 Vacancies for a limited number of Ladies and Gentle-
 men desirous of overcoming habits of Intemperance.
 A large building, standing in its own grounds,
 specially designed for this purpose, and affording
 additional advantages for patients, is now completed.
 —Address, J. H. BROWN, Principal.

EDE AND SON,
ROBE · MAKERS,

BY SPECIAL APPOINTMENT,
 To Her Majesty, the Lord Chancellor, the Whole of the
 Judicial Bench Corporation of London &c.

ROBES FOR QUEEN'S COUNSEL AND BARRISTERS
 SOLICITORS' GOWNS

Law Wigs and Gowns for Registrars, Town Clerks,
 and Clerks of the Peace

CORPORATION ROBES, UNIVERSITY & CLERGY GOWNS
 ESTABLISHED 1680.

94, CHANCERY LANE, LONDON

SECRETARY seeks RE-ENGAGEMENT.
 Has been four years with a Member of Parliament. Shorthand writer and correspondent; married; age 26. Would take moderate salary or temporary employment. Highest references. Apply, J. H., care of Alexander & Shephard, 27, Chancery-lane.

OFFICES to be LET—suitable for a
 Solicitor.—Two well-lit Rooms and Waiting Room, close to Lincoln's-inn-fields.—Apply to F. S. BERKEFELD, Esq., Architect and Surveyor, 222, High Holborn.

Nicholls, William, Watton, Norfolk, Plumber. Norwich. Pet March 21. Ord April 9
 Norton, Shadrach, Stoney Stanton, Leicestershire, Builder. Leicester. Pet March 23. Ord April 9
 Owen, Benjamin, Llandudno, Carnarvonshire, Hotel Keeper. Bangor. Pet March 13. Ord April 9
 Park, John, Wigan, out of business. Wigan. Pet April 9. Ord April 10
 Perry, Richard William, Farmborough, Somersetshire, Licensed Victualler. Wells. Pet April 8. Ord April 11
 Pudsey, Rounding, Flamborough, Yorkshire, Fish Dealer. Scarborough. Pet March 27. Ord April 11
 Ricketts, Edward, Bournmouth, Plumber. Poole. Pet March 23. Ord April 10
 Sanderson, Thomas, Kingston upon Hull, Builder. Kingston upon Hull. Pet March 17. Ord April 10
 Schmidt, Ludwig Wilhelm, Handsworth, Staffordshire, Merchant. Birmingham. Pet March 4. Ord April 10
 Senior, Joseph Scott, Batley Carr, York, Size Boiler. Dewsbury. Pet April 8. Ord April 11
 Stark, Humphrey John, Christchurch rd., Roupell pk., Organist. High Court. Pet March 16. Ord April 9
 Still, Edward, Roydon, Essex, Ship Broker. Edmonton. Pet March 13. Ord April 11
 Thompson, John, Ranskill, Nottinghamshire, Farmer. Lincoln. Pet April 10. Ord April 10
 Underwood, Robert John, and George Henry Underwood, Bournemouth, Cabinet Makers. Poole. Pet March 26. Ord April 11
 Uwins, Thomas, West Brighton, Grocer. Brighton. Pet March 31. Ord April 10
 Wakeham, Nicholas, Plymouth, Tailor. East Stonehouse. Pet March 17. Ord April 8
 Whewell, William, Over Darwen, Lancashire, Collier. Blackburn. Pet March 21. Ord April 10
 Williams, Thomas, Crumpsall, Lancashire, Builder. Manchester. Pet March 6. Ord April 10
 Willis, Edwin, Moseley, Birmingham, Hairdresser. Stafford. Pet April 10. Ord April 10
 Wilson, Gawn, Waverhampton, General Dealer. Dudley. Pet April 9. Ord April 9
 Woodhouse, John, Dudley, Worcestershire, Licensed Victualler. Dudley. Pet April 10
 NOTE.—The Notice of Order of Adjudication, dated April 1, against J. H. Chavasse, Stourbridge, was gazetted on the 7th April instant in error, no such Order having been made.

The Subscription to the SOLICITORS' JOURNAL is—Town, 2s.; Country, 2s.; with the WEEKLY REPORTER, 5s. Payment in advance includes Double Numbers and Postage. Subscribers can have their Volumes bound at the office—cloth, 2s. 6d., half law calf, 5s. 6d.

All letters intended for publication in the "Solicitors' Journal" must be authenticated by the name of the writer.

Where difficulty is experienced in procuring the Journal with regularity in the Country, it is requested that application be made direct to the Publisher.

CONTENTS.

CURRENT TOPICS	393	Cayley v. The Sandycroft Brick Company	395
"OFFICER OF THE COURT"	394	OBITUARY	396
THE ORGANIZATION OF A SOLICITOR'S OFFICE	395	LEGAL APPOINTMENTS	396
REVIEWS	396	SOCIETIES	396
CASES OF THE WEEK:—		LAW STUDENTS' JOURNAL	397
COURT OF APPEAL:—		NEW ORDERS, &c., &c.	397
Ahler v. Ahler	397	LEGAL NEWS	397
Houston v. The Marquess of Sligo	397	LEGISLATION OF THE WEEK	397
HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE:—		COURT PAPERS	397
In re Friedlander's Trade-Mark	397	COMPANIES	397
In re Baker (deceased), Connell v. Baker	397	CHERTON'S CLAIMS	397
v. Baker	397	LONDON GAZETTES, &c., &c.	397

The Editor does not hold himself responsible for the return of rejected communications.

NOTICES TO CORRESPONDENTS.—All communications intended for publication on the SOLICITORS' JOURNAL must be authenticated by the name and address of the writer.

* * * The Publisher requests that early application should be made by persons desirous of obtaining back numbers of the SOLICITORS' JOURNAL, as only a small number of copies remain on hand.

THE NEW ZEALAND LAND MORTGAGE COMPANY, Limited.

Capital £2,000,000, fully subscribed by more than 800 shareholders. £100,000 paid up.

The Company's loans are limited to first-class freehold mortgages. The Debenture issue is limited to the uncalled capital.

HOME DIRECTORS.

H. J. BRISTOW, Esq.	Sir WILLIAM T. POWELL.
W. K. GRAHAM, Esq.	K.C.B.
FALCONER LARKWORTHY, Esq.	THOS. RUSSELL, Esq.
ARTHUR M. MITCHISON, Esq.	C.M.G.

Sir EDWARD W. STAFFORD, K.C.M.G.

Chairman of Colonial Board—
 The Hon. Sir FREDK. WHITAKER, K.C.M.G., M.L.C., late Premier of New Zealand.

The Directors are issuing Terminable Debentures bearing interest at 4 per cent. for three years, and 4½ per cent. for five years and upwards. Interest half-yearly by Coupons.

A. M. MITCHISON, Managing Director.
 Leadenhall-buildings, Leadenhall-st., London, E.C.

THE CHURCH PREFERENCE GAZETTE

for June, containing full particulars of Advowsons, Presentations, &c., for Sale, together with useful advice to purchasers, should be referred to by every purchaser. Edited by Mr. W. EMERY STARK (Associate Institute of Actuaries, F.R.G.S., &c.).—Address (enclosing six stamps), Messrs. W. EMERY STARK & Co., 3, Bedford-street, Strand.